

Online workshop Transforming REDD+ in Indonesia


📅 27 October 2020



Stakeholder's perception on REDD+ finance and benefit sharing in Brazil, Indonesia, and Vietnam

Moira Moeliono, Bimo Dwisatrio, Jennie Yuwono, Patrícia Gallo, Pham Thu Thuy


CIFOR





Introduction

- REDD+ and the issue of finance
- Study in Indonesia, Vietnam and Brazil
- Research question:
 - How do actors perceive the financing of REDD+
 - What is being paid for?
 - Who should pay?
 - Who should be paid?
- Methods: PNA survey and semi-structured interviews


REDD+ payments should be based only on actual results of verified emission reduction



REDD+ should be mainly financed through foreign government contributions




Without finance from carbon markets, REDD+ will not make a major contribution to emission reduction



Who should be paid?

PNA 2

PNA 3



Take home messages

- REDD+ is accepted as performance based payment but not clear who and how to finance. In Indonesia actors perceive it should be part of government task (and budget), in Vietnam: foreign government to pay; In Brazil: international/foreign resources.
- Perception on the critical role of carbon markets changed drastically in Indonesia, less so in Vietnam. In Brazil there is overall strong support for the market although there is are differences among the different actor groups.
- Benefits of REDD+ should be shared but no clear agreement how
- Solutions: strong regulatory framework; changing perspective about carbon markets, decentralization.

