

References

- Abbott KW. 2012. The transnational regime complex for climate change. *Environment and Planning C: Government and Policy*, 30(4): 571-590.
- Achard F, Beuchle R, Mayaux P, Stibig H-J, Bodart C, Brink A, Carboni S, Desclée B, Donnay F, Eva HD, Lupi A, Raši R, Seliger R, and Simonetti D. 2014. Determination of tropical deforestation rates and related carbon losses from 1990 to 2010. *Global Change Biology*, 20(8): 2540-2554.
- Agarwala M, Ghoshal S, Verchot L, Martius C, Ahuja R, and DeFries R. 2017. Impact of biogas interventions on forest biomass and regeneration in southern India. *Global Ecology and Conservation*, 11: 213-223.
- Agrawal A, Nepstad D, and Chhatre A. 2011. Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation. *Annual Reviews*, 36: 373-396.
- Airey S, and Krause T. 2017. "Georgetown ain't got a tree. We got the trees" - Amerindian power and participation in Guyana's low carbon development strategy. *Forests*, 8(3): 51.
- Alexandratos N, and Bruinsma J. 2012. *World agriculture towards 2030/2050: The 2012 revision*. ESA Working paper No. 12-03. Rome, Italy: Food and Agricultural Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- Alexiades MN, ed. 2009. *Mobility and Migration in Indigenous Amazonia: Contemporary Ethnoecological Perspectives*. New York, Oxford: Berghahn Books.
- Alix-Garcia J, De Janvry A, and Sadoulet E. 2008. The role of deforestation risk and calibrated compensation in designing payments for environmental services. *Environment and Development Economics*, 13(3): 375-394.
- Alix-Garcia J, and Wolff H. 2014. Payment for ecosystem services from forests. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 6(1): 361-380.
- Alix-Garcia JM, Sims KRE, and Yañez-Pagans P. 2015. Only one tree from each seed? Environmental effectiveness and poverty alleviation in Mexico's payments for ecosystem services program. *American Economic Journal: Economic Policy*, 7(4): 1-40.

- Alter KJ, and Meunier S. 2009. The politics of international regime complexity. *Perspectives on politics*, 7(1): 13–24.
- Andam KS, Ferraro PJ, Sims KRE, Healy A, and Holland MB. 2010. Protected areas reduced poverty in Costa Rica and Thailand. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 107(22): 9996–10001.
- Andersson K, and Gibson CC. 2007. Decentralized governance and environmental change: Local institutional moderation of deforestation in Bolivia. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 26(1): 99–123.
- Andersson K, Lawrence D, Zavaleta J, and Guariguata MR. 2016. More trees, more poverty? The socioeconomic effects of tree plantations in Chile, 2001–2011. *Environmental Management*, 57(1): 123–136.
- Andersson KP, Smith SM, Alston LJ, Duchelle AE, Mwangi E, Larson AM, de Sassi C, Sills EO, Sunderlin WD, and Wong GY. 2018. Wealth and the distribution of benefits from tropical forests: Implications for REDD+. *Land Use Policy*, 72: 510–522.
- Angelsen A. 2007. *Forest cover change in space and time: Combining von Thünen and the forest transition*. World Bank Policy Research Working Paper No. 4117. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Angelsen A, ed. 2008. *Moving Ahead with REDD: Issues, Options and Implications*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Angelsen A. 2009. Effectiveness, efficiency, equity and co-benefits (3Es+). In Angelsen A, ed. *Realising REDD+: National Strategy and Policy Options*. Box 1.3, p. 5–5. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Angelsen A. 2014. The economics of REDD+. In Kant S, and Alavalapati JRR, eds. *Handbook of Forest Resource Economics*. p. 290–316. London, UK: Routledge.
- Angelsen A. 2017. REDD+ as result-based aid: General lessons and bilateral agreements of Norway. *Review of Development Economics*, 21(2): 237–264.
- Angelsen A, Brockhaus M, Duchelle AE, Larson A, Martius C, Sunderlin WD, Verchot L, Wong G, and Wunder S. 2017. Learning from REDD+: A response to Fletcher *et al.* *Conservation Biology*, 31(3): 718–720.
- Angelsen A, Brockhaus M, Kanninen M, Sills E, Sunderlin WD, and Wertz-Kanounnikoff S, eds. 2009. *Realising REDD+: National strategy and policy options*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Angelsen A, Brockhaus M, Sunderlin WD, and Verchot LV, eds. 2012. *Analysing REDD+: Challenges and Choices*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Angelsen A, Bulte EH, Kaimowitz D, and Soest DPv. 2001. Technological change and deforestation: A theoretical overview. In Angelsen A, and Kaimowitz D, eds. *Agricultural Technologies and Tropical Deforestation*. p. 19–34. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- Angelsen A, Jagger P, Babigumira R, Belcher B, Hogarth NJ, Bauch S, Börner J, Smith-Hall C, and Wunder S. 2014. Environmental income and rural livelihoods: A global-comparative analysis. *World Development*, 64(S1): S12–S28.

- Angelsen A, and Kaimowitz D. 1999. Rethinking the causes of tropical deforestation: Lessons from economics models. *The World Bank Research Observer*, 14(1): 73-98.
- Angelsen A, and Kaimowitz D. 2001a. *Agricultural Technologies and Tropical Deforestation*. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- Angelsen A, and Kaimowitz D. 2001b. Agricultural technology and forests: A recapitulation. In Angelsen A, and Kaimowitz D, eds. *Agricultural Technologies and Tropical Deforestation*. p. 383-402. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- Angelsen A, and Kaimowitz D. 2001c. Introduction: The role of agricultural technologies in tropical deforestation. In Angelsen A, and Kaimowitz D, eds. *Agricultural Technologies and Tropical Deforestation*. p. 1-17. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- Angelsen A, and Rudel TK. 2013. Designing and implementing effective REDD + policies: A forest transition approach. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 7(1): 91-113.
- Angelsen A, and Vatn A. 2016. *REDD+: From idea to reality-and back? Festschrift in honor of professors Ole Hofstad and Birger Solberg*. Ås, Norway: Department of Ecology and Natural Resource Management, Norwegian University of Life Sciences.
- Arndt C, Jones S, and Tarp F. 2010. Aid, growth, and development: Have we come full circle? *Journal of Globalization and Development*, 1(2): 1-27.
- Arriagada RA, Ferraro PJ, Sills EO, Pattanayak SK, and Cordero-Sancho S. 2012. Do payments for environmental services affect forest cover? A farm-level evaluation from Costa Rica. *Land Economics*, 88(2): 382-399.
- Arriagada RA, Sills EO, Ferraro PJ, and Pattanayak SK. 2015. Do payments pay off? Evidence from participation in Costa Rica's PES program. *PLoS ONE*, 10(7): e0131544.
- Arts B, Buizer M, Horlings L, Ingram V, Van Oosten C, and Opdam P. 2017. Landscape approaches: A state-of-the-art review. *Annual Review of Environment and Resources*, 42: 439-463.
- Asiyanbi AP. 2016. A political ecology of REDD+: Property rights, militarised protectionism, and carbonised exclusion in Cross River. *Geoforum*, 77: 146-156.
- Assunção J, Gandour CC, and Rocha R. 2012. Deforestation slowdown in the Legal Amazon: Prices or policies. *Climate Policy Initiative*, 1: 03-37.
- Atela JO, Minang PA, Quinn CH, and Duguma LA. 2015a. Implementing REDD+ at the local level: Assessing the key enablers for credible mitigation and sustainable livelihood outcomes. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 157: 238-249.
- Atela JO, Quinn CH, Minang PA, and Duguma LA. 2015b. Implementing REDD+ in view of integrated conservation and development projects: Leveraging empirical lessons. *Land Use Policy*, 48: 329-340.

- Athey S, and Imbens GW. 2017. The state of applied econometrics: Causality and policy evaluation. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 31(2): 3–32.
- Avitabile V, Herold M, Heuvelink GBM, Lewis SL, Phillips OL, Asner GP, Armston J, Ashton PS, Banin L, Bayol N, Berry NJ, Boeckx P, de Jong BHJ, Devries B, Girardin CAJ, Kearsley E, Lindsell JA, Lopez-Gonzalez G, Lucas R, Malhi Y, Morel A, Mitchard ETA, Nagy L, Qie L, Quinones MJ, Ryan CM, Ferry SJW, Sunderland T, Laurin GV, Gatti RC, Valentini R, Verbeeck H, Wijaya A, and Willcock S. 2016. An integrated pan-tropical biomass map using multiple reference datasets. *Global Change Biology*, 22(4): 1406–1420.
- Awono A, Somorin OA, Eba'a Atyi R, and Levang P. 2014. Tenure and participation in local REDD+ projects: Insights from southern Cameroon. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 35: 76–86.
- Badgery-Parker I. 2013. Good governance is “critical” to engage the private sector in REDD+. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR) *Forests News*. July 16, 2013.
- Bahar NHA. 2018. Sabah, Malaysia. In Stickler CM, Duchelle AE, Ardila JP, Nepstad DC, David OR, Chan C, Rojas JG, Vargas R, Bezerra TP, Pritchard L, Simmonds J, Durbin JC, Simonet G, Peteru S, Komalasari M, DiGiano ML, and Warren MW, eds. *The State of Jurisdictional Sustainability*. San Francisco, CA; Bogor, Indonesia; Boulder, CO: Earth Innovation Institute (EII); Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR); Governors’ Climate and Forests Task Force (GCF).
- Bai Z, Dent D, Olsson L, and Schaepman M. 2008. *Global Assessment of Land Degradation and Improvement 1. Identification by remote sensing*. Report No. 2008/01. Wageningen, The Netherlands: ISRIC – World Soil Information.
- Baland JM, Bardhan P, Das S, and Mookherjee D. 2010. Forests to the people: Decentralization and forest degradation in the Indian Himalayas. *World Development*, 38(11): 1642–1656.
- Barr C, Dermawan A, Purnomo H, and Heru K. 2010. *Financial governance and Indonesia’s Reforestation Fund during the Soeharto and post-Soeharto periods, 1989–2009: A political economic analysis of lessons for REDD+*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Bastos Lima MG, Kissinger G, Visseren-Hamakers IJ, Braña-Varela J, and Gupta A. 2017a. The Sustainable Development Goals and REDD+: Assessing institutional interactions and the pursuit of synergies. *International Environmental Agreements: Politics, Law and Economics*, 17(4): 589–606.
- Bastos Lima MG, Visseren-Hamakers I, Braña-Varela J, and Gupta A. 2017b. A reality check on the landscape approach to REDD+: Lessons from Latin America. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 78: 10–20.
- Bauch SC, Sills EO, and Pattanayak SK. 2014. Have we managed to integrate conservation and development? ICDP Impacts in the Brazilian Amazon. *World Development*, 64: S135–S148.

- Baylis K, Honey-Rosés J, Börner J, Corbera E, Ezzine-de-Blas D, Ferraro PJ, Lapeyre R, Persson UM, Pfaff A, and Wunder S. 2016. Mainstreaming impact evaluation in nature conservation. *Conservation Letters*, 9(1): 58–64.
- Bayrak MM and Marafa LM. 2016. Ten years of REDD+: A critical review of the impact of REDD+ on forest-dependent communities. *Sustainability*, 8(7): 620.
- Belcher B. 2018. Sustainability Research Effectiveness Program Website. [accessed 25 November 2018]. <https://researcheffectiveness.ca>
- Belcher B, Suryadarma D, and Halimanjaya A. 2017. Evaluating policy-relevant research: Lessons from a series of theory-based outcomes assessments. *Palgrave Communications*, 3: 17017.
- BenYishay A, Heuser S, Runfola D, and Trichler R. 2017. Indigenous land rights and deforestation: Evidence from the Brazilian Amazon. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 86: 29–47.
- Bey A, Díaz ASP, Maniatis D, Marchi G, Mollicone D, Ricci S, Bastin JF, Moore R, Federici S, Rezende M, Patriarca C, Turia R, Gamoga G, Abe H, Kaidong E, and Miceli G. 2016. Collect earth: Land use and land cover assessment through augmented visual interpretation. *Remote Sensing*, 8(10): 807.
- Blackman A. 2015. Strict versus mixed-use protected areas: Guatemala's Maya Biosphere Reserve. *Ecological Economics*, 112: 14–24.
- Blackman A, Corral L, Lima ES, and Asner GP. 2017. Titling indigenous communities protects forests in the Peruvian Amazon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(16): 4123–4128.
- Blackman A and Veit P. 2018. Titled Amazon indigenous communities cut forest carbon emissions. *Ecological Economics*, 153: 56–67.
- Blackman A and Villalobos L. 2018. *The net effect of concessions on forest loss: Quasi-experimental evidence from Mexico*. Discussion paper No. IDB-DP-588. Washington, DC: Inter-American Development Bank.
- Blaikie P. 2006. Is small really beautiful? Community-based natural resource management in Malawi and Botswana. *World Development*, 34(11): 1942–1957.
- Blaser WJ, Oppong J, Hart SP, Landolt J, Yeboah E, and Six J. 2018. Climate-smart sustainable agriculture in low-to-intermediate shade agroforests. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(5): 234–239.
- Blaxekjær L. 2012. *The Emergence and Spreading of the Green Growth Policy Concept (conference proceedings)*. Lund Conference on Earth System Governance 18–20 April 2012, Lund, Sweden: Lund University.
- Blundo-Canto G, Bax V, Quintero M, Cruz-Garcia GS, Groeneveld RA, and Perez-Marulanda L. 2018. The different dimensions of livelihood impacts of payments for environmental services (PES) schemes: A Systematic Review. *Ecological Economics*, 149(March): 160–183.
- BNDES (Brazilian Development Bank). 2018. *Amazon Fund Activity Report 2017*. Rio de Janeiro: BNDES.

- Börner J, Baylis K, Corbera E, Ezzine-de-Blas D, Honey-Rosés J, Persson UM, and Wunder S. 2017. The effectiveness of payments for environmental services. *World Development*, 96: 359-374.
- Börner J, Kis-Katos K, Hargrave J, and König K. 2015. Post-crackdown effectiveness of field-based forest law enforcement in the Brazilian Amazon. *PLoS ONE*, 10(4): 1-19.
- Börner J and Vosti SA. 2013. Managing tropical forest ecosystem services: An overview of options. *Governing the Provision of Ecosystem Services*. p. 21-46. Dordrecht, The Netherlands: Springer.
- Börner J, Wunder S, and Giudice R. 2016. Will up-scaled forest conservation incentives in the Peruvian Amazon produce cost-effective and equitable outcomes? *Environmental Conservation*, 43(4): 407-416.
- Börner J, Wunder S, Reimer F, Kim Bakkegaard R, Viana V, Tezza J, Pinto T, Lima L, and Marostica S. 2013. *Promoting forest stewardship in the Bolsa Floresta Programme: Local livelihood strategies and preliminary impacts*. Rio de Janeiro, Brazil; Manaus, Brazil; Bonn, Germany: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR); Fundação Amazonas Sustentável (FAS); Zentrum für Entwicklungsforschung (ZEF), University of Bonn.
- Bos AB, Duchelle AE, Angelsen A, Avitabile V, Sy VD, Herold M, Joseph S, Sassi Cd, Sills EO, Sunderlin WD, and Wunder S. 2017. Comparing methods for assessing the effectiveness of subnational REDD+ initiatives. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(7): 074007.
- Boserup E. 1965. *The Conditions for Agricultural Growth*. London: George Allen & Unwin.
- Boucher D, Elias P, Lininger K, May-Tobin C, Roquemore S, and Earl S. 2011. *The root of the problem: What's driving deforestation today?* Cambridge, MA: Tropical Forest and Climate Initiative, Union of Concerned Scientists (UCS).
- Bowler DE, Buyung-Ali LM, Healey JR, Jones JPG, Knight TM, and Pullin AS. 2012. Does community forest management provide global environmental benefits and improve local welfare? *Frontiers in Ecology and the Environment*, 10(1): 29-36.
- Boyd W, Stickler C, Duchelle AE, Seymour F, Nepstad D, Bahar NHA, and Rodriguez-Ward D. 2018. *Jurisdictional Approaches to REDD+ and Low Emissions Development: Progress and Prospects*. Working Paper No. June 2018. Washington, DC, USA: World Resources Institute (WRI).
- Brand U. 2012. Green economy-the next oxymoron? No lessons learned from failures of implementing sustainable development. *GAIA-Ecological Perspectives for Science and Society*, 21(1): 28-32.
- Brandt JS, Nolte C, Steinberg J, and Agrawal A. 2014. Foreign capital, forest change and regulatory compliance in Congo Basin forests. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9(4): 044007-044007.

- Brockhaus M and Angelsen A. 2012. Seeing REDD+ through 4Is: A political economy framework. In Angelsen A, Brockhaus M, Sunderlin WD, and Verchot LV, eds. *Analysing REDD+: Challenges and Choices*. p. 15-30. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Brockhaus M and Di Gregorio M. 2014. National REDD+ policy networks: From cooperation to conflict. *Ecology and Society*, 19(4): 14.
- Brockhaus M, Di Gregorio M, and Mardiah S. 2014. Governing the design of national REDD+: An analysis of the power of agency. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 49: 23-33.
- Brockhaus M, Korhonen-Kurki K, Sehring J, Di Gregorio M, Assembe-Mvondo S, Babon A, Bekele M, Gebara MF, Khatri DB, Kambire H, Kengoum F, Kweka D, Menton M, Moeliono M, Paudel NS, Pham TT, Resosudarmo IAP, Siteo A, Wunder S, and Zida M. 2017. REDD+, transformational change and the promise of performance-based payments: A qualitative comparative analysis. *Climate Policy*, 17(6): 708-730.
- Bronkhorst E, Cavallo E, van Dorth tot Medler M-M, Klinghammer S, Smit H, Gijzenbergh A, and van der Laan C. 2017. *Current practices and innovations in smallholder palm oil finance in Indonesia and Malaysia: Long-term financing solutions to promote sustainable supply chains*. Occasional Paper No. 177. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Brown D, Seymour F, and Peskett L. 2008. How do we achieve REDD co-benefits and avoid doing harm? In Angelsen A, ed. *Moving Ahead with REDD: Issues, Options and Implications*. p. 107-118. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Bucki M, Cuypers D, Mayaux P, Achard F, Estreguil C, and Grassi G. 2012. Assessing REDD+ performance of countries with low monitoring capacities: The matrix approach. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(1): 014031.
- Buntaine MT, Hamilton SE, and Millones M. 2015. Titling community land to prevent deforestation: An evaluation of a best-case program in Morona-Santiago, Ecuador. *Global Environmental Change*, 33: 32-43.
- Burney JA, Davis SJ, and Lobell DB. 2010. Greenhouse gas mitigation by agricultural intensification. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 107(26): 12052-12057.
- Busch J and Ferretti-Gallon K. 2017. What drives deforestation and what stops it? A meta-analysis. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 11(1): 3-23.
- Busch J, Ferretti-Gallon K, Engelmann J, Wright M, Austin KG, Stolle F, Turubanova S, Potapov PV, Margono B, Hansen MC, and Baccini A. 2015. Reductions in emissions from deforestation from Indonesia's moratorium on new oil palm, timber, and logging concessions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(5): 1328-1333.

- Busch J and Mukherjee A. 2018. Encouraging state governments to protect and restore forests using ecological fiscal transfers: India's tax revenue distribution reform. *Conservation Letters*, 11(2): e12416.
- Butt S. 2014. Traditional land rights before the Indonesian Constitutional Court-comment. *Law, Environment and Development Journal*, 10(1): 57-73.
- Byerlee D, Stevenson J, and Villoria N. 2014. Does intensification slow crop land expansion or encourage deforestation? *Global Food Security*, 3(2): 92-98.
- Cabello J and Gilbertson T. 2012. A colonial mechanism to enclose lands: A critical review of two REDD+-focused special issues. *ephemera - theory and politics in organization*, 12(1/2): 162-180.
- Camargo M, Hogarth NJ, Pacheco P, Nhantumbo I, and Kanninen M. 2018. Greening the dark side of chocolate: A qualitative assessment to inform sustainable supply chains. *Environmental Conservation (in print)*: 1-8.
- Camargo M and Nhantumbo I. 2016. *Towards sustainable chocolate: Greening the cocoa supply chain*. London, UK: International Institute for Environment and Development (IIED).
- Cammelli F and Angelsen A. 2017. *Amazonian farmers' response to fire policies and climate change*. Working Paper No. 4/2017. Ås, Norway: School of Economics and Business, Norwegian University of Life Sciences.
- Campbell BM. 2009. Beyond Copenhagen: REDD+, agriculture, adaptation strategies and poverty. *Global Environmental Change*, 19: 397-399.
- Campbell BM, Thornton P, Zougmore R, van Asten P, and Lipper L. 2014. Sustainable intensification: What is its role in climate smart agriculture? *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 8: 39-43.
- Canavire-Bacarreza G, and Hanauer MM. 2013. Estimating the impacts of Bolivia's protected areas on poverty. *World Development*, 41(1): 265-285.
- CARB (California Air Resources Board). 2018. California Air Resources Board, State of California. (CARB) California Tropical Forest Standard: Criteria for Assessing Jurisdiction-Scale Programs that Reduce Emissions from Tropical Deforestation. [accessed 22 November 2018]. <https://www.arb.ca.gov/cc/ghgsectors/tropicalforests.htm>
- Carter S, Arts B, Giller KE, Golcher CS, Kok K, de Koning J, van Noordwijk M, Reidsma P, Rufino MC, Salvini G, Verchot L, Wollenberg E, and Herold M. 2018. Climate-smart land use requires local solutions, transdisciplinary research, policy coherence and transparency. *Carbon Management*, 9(3): 291-301.
- Carter S, Herold M, Rufino MC, Neumann K, Kooistra L, and Verchot L. 2015. Mitigation of agricultural emissions in the tropics: Comparing forest land-sparing options at the national level. *Biogeosciences*, 12(15): 4809-4825.
- Castrén, Tuukka, Katila M, Lindroos K, and Salmi J. 2014. *Private Financing for Sustainable Forest Management and Forest Products in Developing Countries: Trends and Drivers*. Washington, DC: Program on Forests (PROFOR).

- CDP (Carbon Disclosure Project). 2017. *From risk to revenue: The investment opportunity in addressing corporate deforestation*. CDP Global Forests Report No. 2017. London, UK: CDP.
- CDP (Carbon Disclosure Project). 2018. *Harnessing the potential of the private sector to deliver REDD+: A briefing for policymakers*. London, UK: CDP.
- Ceddia MG, Bardsley NO, Gomez-y-Paloma S, and Sedlacek S. 2014. Governance, agricultural intensification, and land sparing in tropical South America. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 11(20): 7242-7247.
- Cerri CEP, Cerri CC, Maia SMF, Cherubin MR, Feigl BJ, and Lal R. 2018. Reducing Amazon deforestation through agricultural intensification in the Cerrado for advancing food security and mitigating climate change. *Sustainability*, 10(4): 989.
- Cerrón J, del Castillo J, Mathez-Stiefel SL, and Thomas E. 2017. *Lecciones aprendidas de experiencias de restauración en el Perú*. Lima, Peru: Bioversity, ICRAF, SERFOR.
- Chain Reaction Research. 2018. *Shadow Companies Present Palm Oil Investor Risks and Undermine NDPE Efforts*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Aidenvironment, Climate Advisers, Profundo.
- Chapman S, and Wilder M. 2013. Fostering REDD+ Investment through effective legal frameworks: Lessons from the development of early forest carbon projects. *Carbon and Climate Law Review*, 7(1): 43-53.
- Choi S-w, Sohngen B, Rose S, Hertel T, and Golub A. 2011. Total factor productivity change in agriculture and emissions from deforestation. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 93(2): 349-355.
- CIF (Climate Investment Funds). 2017. *Climate Investment Funds (CIF) FIP Operations and Results Report*. Washington, DC: Climate Investment Fund.
- Cisneros E, Zhou SL, and Börner J. 2015. Naming and Shaming for Conservation: Evidence from the Brazilian Amazon. *PLoS ONE*, 10(9): e0136402-e0136402.
- Clapp C, Briner G, and Karousakis K. 2010. *Low-emission development strategies (LEDS): Technical, institutional and policy lessons*. Paris, France: Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development (OECD).
- Clark CJ, Poulsen JR, Malonga R, and Elkan PW. 2009. Logging concessions can extend the conservation estate for central African tropical forests. *Conservation Biology*, 23(5): 1281-1293.
- Clarke M, Mikkolainen P, Camargo M, and Elhassan N. 2016. *Second Evaluation of the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility*. Helsinki, Finland: Indufor.
- Clements T, Suon S, Wilkie DS, and Milner-Gulland EJ. 2014. Impacts of protected areas on local livelihoods in Cambodia. *World Development*, 64(S1): S12-S134.
- Climate Focus. 2016. *Progress on the New York Declaration on Forests - Achieving Collective Forest Goals. Updates on Goals 1-10*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands Climate Focus.

- Coleman EA, and Liebertz SS. 2014. Property rights and forest commons. *Journal of Policy Analysis and Management*, 33(3): 649–668.
- CONAFOR (National Forest Commission of Mexico). 2010. *Mexico's Vision on REDD+*. Jalisco, México: CONAFOR.
- CONAFOR (National Forest Commission of Mexico). 2016. *Redd+ Preparation Package Document for the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility*. Mexico City, México: CONAFOR.
- Corbera E, and Schroeder H. 2011. Governing and implementing REDD+. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 14(2): 89–99.
- Coryn CLS, Noakes LA, Westine CD, and Schröter DC. 2011. A systematic review of theory-driven evaluation practice from 1990 to 2009. *American Journal of Evaluation*, 32(2): 199–226.
- Costedoat S, Corbera E, Ezzine-de-Blas D, Honey-Rosés J, Baylis K, and Castillo-Santiago MA. 2015. How effective are biodiversity conservation payments in Mexico? *PLoS ONE*, 10(3): 1–20.
- Cotula L and Mayers J. 2009. *Tenure in REDD - Start-point or afterthought?* Natural Resource Issues No. 15. London, UK: International Institute for Environment and Development.
- Counsell S. 2018. Norway's International Forest and Climate Initiative - 10 years of kissing frogs. *Development Today*, 27 June 2018. [accessed 25 November 2018]. http://www.development-today.com/magazine/Frontpage/norways_international_forest_and_climate_initiative_10_years_of_kissing_frogs
- CRGE (Climate-Resilient Green Economy). 2011. *Climate Resilient Green Economy Strategy of the Federal Democratic Republic of Ethiopia*. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia.
- Cronin T, Santoso L, Di Gregorio M, Brockhaus M, Mardiah S, and Muharrom E. 2016. Moving consensus and managing expectations: Media and REDD+ in Indonesia. *Climatic Change*, 137(1-2): 57–70.
- Cronkleton P, Pulhin JM, and Saigal S. 2012. Co-management in community forestry: How the partial devolution of management rights creates challenges for forest communities. *Conservation and Society*, 10(2): 91–102.
- Curtis PG, Slay CM, Harris NL, Tyukavina A, and Hansen MC. 2018. Classifying drivers of global forest loss. *Science*, 361(6407): 1108–1111.
- de los Rios M, David O, Stickler C, and Nepstad D. 2018. Acre, Brazil. In Stickler CM, Duchelle AE, Ardila JP, Nepstad DC, David OR, Chan C, Rojas JG, Vargas R, Bezerra TP, Pritchard L, Simmonds J, Durbin JC, Simonet G, Peteru S, Komalasari M, DiGiano ML, and Warren MW, eds. *The State of Jurisdictional Sustainability*. San Francisco, CA; Bogor, Indonesia; Boulder, CO: Earth Innovation Institute (EII); Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR); Governors' Climate and Forests Task Force (GCF TF).
- De Beule H, Jassogne L, and van Asten P. 2014. *Cocoa: Driver of Deforestation in the Democratic Republic of the Congo?* CCAFS Working Paper No. 65.

- Copenhagen, Denmark: CGIAR Research Program on Climate Change, Agriculture and Food Security (CCAFS).
- De Oliveira Silva R, Barioni LG, Queiroz Pellegrino G, and Moran D. 2018. The role of agricultural intensification in Brazil's Nationally Determined Contribution on emissions mitigation. *Agricultural Systems*, 161: 102-112.
- de Sassi C, Sunderlin WD, Sills EO, Duchelle AE, Ravikumar A, I.A.P R, Luttrell C, Joseph S, Herold M, Kweka D, and Atmadja S. 2014. REDD+ on the ground: Global insights from local contexts. In Sills EO, Atmadja SS, Sassi Cd, Duchelle AE, Kweka DL, Resosudarmo IAP, and Sunderlin WD, eds. *REDD+ on the Ground: A Case Book of Subnational Initiatives Across the Globe*. p. 420-439. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- De Sy V, Herold M, Achard F, Asner GP, Held A, Kellndorfer J, and Verbesselt J. 2012. Synergies of multiple remote sensing data sources for REDD+ monitoring. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4(6): 696-706.
- De Sy V, Herold M, Achard F, Beuchle R, Clevers JGPW, Lindquist E, and Verchot L. 2015. Land use patterns and related carbon losses following deforestation in South America. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(12): 124004.
- De Sy V, Herold M, Martius C, Böttcher H, Fritz S, Gaveau DLA, Leonard S, Romijn E, and Román-Cuesta RM. 2016. *Enhancing transparency in the land-use sector: Exploring the role of independent monitoring approaches*. Infobrief No. 156. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Den Besten JW, Arts B, and Verkooijen P. 2014. The evolution of REDD+: An analysis of discursive-institutional dynamics. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 35: 40-48.
- Deschamps Ramírez P, and Larson A. 2017. *The politics of REDD+ MRV in Mexico: The interplay of the national and subnational levels*. Occasional Paper No. 6023870562. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Desquillet M, Dorin B, and Couvet D. 2017. Land sharing vs land sparing to conserve biodiversity: How agricultural markets make the difference. *Environmental Modeling and Assessment*, 22(3): 185-200.
- Development Today. 2017, 23 March 2017. NOK 10.5 billion in Norwegian climate forest aid remain unspent. *Development Today*. Oslo, Norway.
- Di Gregorio M, Brockhaus M, Cronin T, and Muharrom. 2012. Politics and power in national REDD+ policy processes. In Angelsen A, Brockhaus M, Sunderlin WD, and Verchot LV, eds. *Analysing REDD+: Challenges and Choices*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Di Gregorio M, Brockhaus M, Cronin T, Muharrom E, Mardiah S, and Santoso L. 2015. Deadlock or transformational change? Exploring public discourse on REDD+ across seven countries. *Global Environmental Politics*, 15(4): 63-84.

- Di Gregorio M, Brockhaus M, Cronin T, Muharrom E, Santoso L, Mardiah S, and Büdenbender M. 2013. Equity and REDD+ in the media: A comparative analysis of policy discourses. *Ecology and Society*, 18(2): Art. 39.
- Di Gregorio M, Nurrochmat DR, Paavola J, Sari IM, Fatorelli L, Pramova E, Locatelli B, Brockhaus M, and Kusumadewi SD. 2017. Climate policy integration in the land use sector: Mitigation, adaptation and sustainable development linkages. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 67: 35-43.
- DiGiano M, Mendoza E, Ochoa M, Ardila J, Oliveira de Lima F, and Nepstad D. 2018. *The Twenty-Year-Old Partnership Between Indigenous Peoples and the Government of Acre, Brazil*. San Francisco, USA: Earth Innovation Institute (EII).
- DiGiano M, Stickler C, Nepstad D, Ardila J, Becerra M, Benavides M, Bernadinus S, Bezerra T, Castro E, Cendales M, Chan C, Davis A, Kandel S, Mendoza E, Montero J, Osorio M, and Setiawan J. 2016. *Increasing REDD+ benefits to indigenous peoples and traditional communities through a jurisdictional approach*. San Francisco, USA: Earth Innovation Institute (EII).
- Ding H, Veit P, Blackman A, Gray E, Reyntar K, Altamirano JC, and Hodgdon B. 2016. *Climate Benefits, Tenure Costs: The Economic Case for Securing Indigenous Land Rights in the Amazon*. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute.
- Douthwaite B, and Hoffecker E. 2017. Towards a complexity-aware theory of change for participatory research programs working within agricultural innovation systems. *Agricultural Systems*, 155: 88-102.
- du Pont YR, and Meinshausen M. 2018. Warming assessment of the bottom-up Paris Agreement emissions pledges. *Nature Communications*, 9(1):4810.
- Duchelle AE, Seymour F, Brockhaus M, Angelsen A, Larson AM, Moeliono M, Wong GY, Pham TT, and Martius C. 2018a. *REDD+: Lessons from National and Subnational Implementation*. Ending Tropical Deforestation Series. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute (WRI).
- Duchelle AE, Simonet G, Sunderlin WD, and Wunder S. 2018b. What is REDD+ achieving on the ground? *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 32: 134-140.
- Duchelle A, Larson A, Angelsen A, Martius C, Sills E, Börner J, Newton P, Benzeev R, Wunder S, and Sunderlin W. 2018c. Comment 21 for California Tropical Forest Standard and the Draft EA(TFS2018): "Support for the California Tropical Forest Standard" (29 Oct 2018). Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR). [accessed 25 November 2018]. www.arb.ca.gov/lispub/comm/bccomdisp.php?listname=tfs2018&comment_num=24&virt_num=21.
- Duchelle AE, de Sassi C, Jagger P, Cromberg M, Larson AM, Sunderlin WD, Atmadja SS, Resosudarmo IAP, and Pratama CD. 2017. Balancing carrots and sticks in REDD+: Implications for social safeguards. *Ecology and Society*, 22(3): Art. 2.
- Duguma LA, Nzyoka J, Minang PA, and Bernard F. 2017. *How agroforestry propels achievement of Nationally Determined Contributions*. Policy Brief No. 34. Nairobi, Kenya: World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF).

- Early Warning Working Group. 2018. *Event Report - International Forum on Forest Early Warning Systems, July 9-10, 2018*. Lima, Peru: Early Warning Working Group.
- EDF and Forest Trends (Environmental Defence Fund and Forest Trends). 2018. *Mapping forest finance. A landscape of available sources of finance for REDD+ and climate action in forests*. New York, NY; Washington, DC: EDF and Forest Trends.
- Edmonds EV. 2002. Government-initiated community resource management and local resource extraction from Nepal's forests. *Journal of Development Economics*, 68(1): 89-115.
- Edwards DP, Koh LP, and Laurance WF. 2012. Indonesia's REDD+ pact: Saving imperilled forests or business as usual? *Biological Conservation*, 151(1): 41-44.
- Efroymson RA, Kline KL, Angelsen A, Verburg PH, Dale VH, Langeveld JWA, and McBride A. 2016. A causal analysis framework for land-use change and the potential role of bioenergy policy. *Land Use Policy*, 59: 516-527.
- Eichler R. 2006. *Can "Pay for Performance" Increase Utilization by the Poor and Improve the Quality of Health Services? Discussion paper for the first meeting of the Working Group on Performance-Based Incentives*. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development.
- EII (Earth Innovation Institute). 2017. *Jurisdictional sustainability: A primer for practitioners*. San Francisco, USA: Earth Innovation Institute (EII).
- Ellison D, Morris CE, Locatelli B, Sheil D, Cohen J, Murdiyarso D, Gutierrez V, Van Noordwijk M, Creed IF, and Pokorny J. 2017. Trees, forests and water: Cool insights for a hot world. *Global Environmental Change*, 43: 51-61.
- ENREDD+. 2016. *National REDD+ Strategy of Brazil*. Brasilia: Ministry of the Environment.
- Enrici A and Hubacek K. 2016. Business as usual in Indonesia: Governance factors effecting the acceleration of the deforestation rate after the introduction of REDD+. *Energy, Ecology and Environment*, 1(4): 183-196.
- ESCAP (The Economic and Social Commission for Asia and the Pacific). 2017. *Responding to the climate change challenge in Asia and the Pacific: Achieving the Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs)*. Bangkok: ESCAP.
- Espinosa R and Feather C. 2018. *The role of international climate finance in securing indigenous lands in Peru: Progress, setbacks and challenges*. Lima and Moreton-in-Marsh, UK: Interethnic Association for the Development of the Peruvian Amazon (AIDSESP) and Forest Peoples Programme (FPP).
- EU (European Union). 2013. *Global Food Security Index 2013: An annual measure of the state of global food security*. [accessed 22 November 2018]. <http://foodsecurityindex.eiu.com>
- Ewers RM, Scharlemann JP, Balmford A, and Green RE. 2009. Do increases in agricultural yield spare land for nature? *Global Change Biology*, 15(7): 1716-1726.

- Ezzine-De-Blas D, Wunder S, Ruiz-Pérez M, and Del Pilar Moreno-Sanchez R. 2016. Global patterns in the implementation of payments for environmental services. *PLoS ONE*, 11(3): 1-16.
- Falconer A, Dontenville A, Parker C, Daubrey M, and Gnaore L. 2017. *Landscape of REDD+ Aligned Finance in Côte d'Ivoire*. San Francisco, CA: Climate Policy Initiative.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2010. *Global Ecological Zones*, 2nd edition, 2010. Rome, Italy: FAO. [accessed 25 November 2018]. <http://www.fao.org/geonetwork/srv/en/main.home>
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2013. *Climate smart agriculture source book*. Rome, Italy: FAO.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2015. *Global Forest Resources Assessment 2015*. No. 9789251088210. Rome, Italy: FAO.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2016. *The agricultural sectors in Nationally Determined Contributions (NDCs) – Priority areas for international support*. Rome, Italy: FAO.
- FAO (Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations). 2017. *The future of food and agriculture: Trends and challenges*. Rome, Italy: FAO.
- FCPF (Forest Carbon Partnership Facility). 2015. *Forest Carbon Partnership Facility, ER Program Buffer Guidelines*. [accessed 22 November 2018]. <https://www.forestcarbonpartnership.org/sites/fcp/files/2015/December/FCPF%20ER%20Program%20Buffer%20Guidelines.pdf>
- FCPF (Forest Carbon Partnership Facility). 2017. *Forest Carbon Partnership Facility 2017 Annual Report*. Washington, DC: FCPF.
- Fearnside P. 2017. *Deforestation of the Brazilian Amazon*. Oxford, UK: Oxford University Press.
- Ferguson J. 1994. *The Anti-Politics Machine*. Minneapolis, MN: University of Minnesota Press.
- Ferraro PJ. 2008. Asymmetric information and contract design for payments for environmental services. *Ecological Economics*, 65(4): 810-821.
- Ferraro PJ, and Hanauer MM. 2014. Quantifying causal mechanisms to determine how protected areas affect poverty through changes in ecosystem services and infrastructure. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(11): 4332-4337.
- Ferraro PJ, Hanauer MM, Miteva DA, Canavire-Bacarreza GJ, Pattanayak SK, and Sims KRE. 2013. More strictly protected areas are not necessarily more protective: Evidence from Bolivia, Costa Rica, Indonesia, and Thailand. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(2): 025011.
- Ferraro PJ, Hanauer MM, Miteva DA, Nelson JL, Pattanayak SK, Nolte C, and Sims KRE. 2015. Estimating the impacts of conservation on ecosystem services and

- poverty by integrating modeling and evaluation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 112(24): 7420-7425.
- Ferraro PJ, Hanauer MM, and Sims KRE. 2011. Conditions associated with protected area success in conservation and poverty reduction. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 108(34): 13913.
- Ferraro PJ, and Miranda JJ. 2017. Panel data designs and estimators as substitutes for randomized controlled trials in the evaluation of public programs. *Journal of the Association of Environmental and Resource Economists*, 4(1): 281-317.
- Finer M, Novoa S, Weisse J, Petersen R, Souto T, Stearns F, and Martinez RG. 2018. Combating deforestation: From satellite to intervention. *Science*, 360(6395): 1303-1305.
- Fiske SJ and Paladino S. 2016. Introduction: Carbon offset markets and social equity: Trading in forests to save the planet. In Paladino S, and Fiske SJ, eds. *The Carbon Fix Forest Carbon, Social Justice, and Environmental Governance*. Oxford, UK: Routledge. p. 1-22.
- Fletcher R, Dressler W, Büscher B, and Anderson ZR. 2016. Questioning REDD+ and the future of market-based conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 30(3): 673-675.
- Fletcher R, Dressler W, Büscher B, and Anderson ZR. 2017. Debating REDD+ and its implications: Reply to Angelsen *et al.* *Conservation Biology*, 31(3): 721-723.
- Fontes F and Palmer C. 2018. "Land sparing" in a von Thünen framework: Theory and evidence from Brazil. *Land Economics*, 94(4): 556-576.
- Forest Trends. 2016. *Global Supply Chain Report 2016*. Washington, DC: Forest Trends.
- Forest Trends. 2017. *Supply change: Tracking corporate commitments to deforestation-free supply chains, 2017*. Washington, DC: Forest Trends.
- Forest Trends. 2018. *Zooming in: Companies, commodities, and traceability commitments that count, 2018*. Washington, DC: Forest Trends.
- Forsell N, Turkovska O, Gusti M, Obersteiner M, Elzen MD, and Havlik P. 2016. Assessing the INDCs' land use, land use change, and forest emission projections. *Carbon Balance and Management*, 11(26).
- Fortmann L, Sohngen B, and Southgate D. 2017. Assessing the role of group heterogeneity in community forest concessions in Guatemala's Maya Biosphere Reserve. *Land Economics*, 93(3): 503-526.
- Fox J, Fujita Y, Ngidang D, Peluso N, Potter L, Sakuntaladewi N, Sturgeon J, and Thomas D. 2009. Policies, political-economy, and swidden in Southeast Asia. *Human Ecology*, 37(3): 305-322.
- Fraser N. 2009. *Scales of Justice: Reimagining Political Space in a Globalizing World*. New York, NY: Columbia University Press.
- Gallemore C, Di Gregorio M, Moeliono M, Brockhaus M, and Prasti HRD. 2015. Transaction costs, power, and multi-level forest governance in Indonesia. *Ecological Economics*, 114: 168-179.

- Gallemore C, and Jespersen K. 2016. Transnational markets for sustainable development governance: The case of REDD+. *World Development*, 86: 79-94.
- Garnett ST, Burgess ND, Fa JE, Fernández-Llamazares Á, Molnár Z, Robinson CJ, Watson JE, Zander KK, Austin B, and Brondizio ES. 2018. A spatial overview of the global importance of Indigenous lands for conservation. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(7): 369.
- Garnett T, Appleby M, Balmford A, Bateman I, Benton T, Bloomer P, Burlingame B, Dawkins M, Dolan L, and Fraser D. 2013. Sustainable intensification in agriculture: Premises and policies. *Science*, 341(6141): 33-34.
- Garrett R, Koh I, le Polain de Waroux Y, Lambin E, Kastens J, and Brown JC. 2017. *Agricultural intensification in the Brazilian agricultural-forest frontier: Land use responses to development and conservation policy*. AGU Fall Meeting Abstracts. Washington, DC: American Geophysical Union.
- Gauthier M. 2018. *Mai-Ndombe: Will the REDD+ laboratory benefit indigenous peoples and local communities?* Washington, DC: Rights and Resources Initiative (RRI).
- Gaveau DLA, Kshatriya M, Sheil D, Sloan S, Molidena E, Wijaya A, Wich S, Ancrenaz M, Hansen M, Broich M, Guariguata MR, Pacheco P, Potapov P, Turubanova S, and Meijaard E. 2013. Reconciling forest conservation and logging in Indonesian Borneo. *PLoS ONE*, 8(8): e69887.
- GCF TF (Governors' Climate and Forests Task Force). 2018. *Guiding principles for collaboration and partnership between subnational governments, indigenous peoples and local communities*. Boulder, CO: Governors' Climate and Forests Task Force (GCF TF).
- Gebara MF, Fatorelli L, May P, and Zhang S. 2014. REDD+ policy networks in Brazil: Constraints and opportunities for successful policy making. *Ecology and Society*, 19(3): 53.
- Gebara MF, May PH, Carmenta R, Calixto B, Brockhaus M, and Di Gregorio M. 2017. Framing REDD+ in the Brazilian national media: How discourses evolved amid global negotiation uncertainties. *Climatic Change*, 141(2): 213-226.
- Geist H and Lambin E. 2001. *What drives tropical deforestation? A meta-analysis of proximate and underlying causes of deforestation based on subnational case study evidence*. LUCS Series Report No. 4. Louvain-la-Neuve, Belgium: LUCS International Project Office.
- Geist HJ and Lambin EF. 2002. Proximate causes and underlying driving forces of tropical deforestation. *BioScience*, 52(2): 143-150.
- Geldmann J, Barnes M, Coad L, Craigie ID, Hockings M, and Burgess ND. 2013. Effectiveness of terrestrial protected areas in reducing habitat loss and population declines. *Biological Conservation*, 161: 230-238.
- Ghazoul J, Butler RA, Mateo-Vega J, and Koh LP. 2010. REDD: A reckoning of environment and development implications. *Trends in Ecology and Evolution*, 25(7): 396-402.

- Gibbs HK, Munger J, L'Roe J, Barreto P, Pereira R, Christie M, Amaral T, and Walker NF. 2016. Did ranchers and slaughterhouses respond to zero-deforestation agreements in the Brazilian Amazon? *Conservation Letters*, 9(1): 32–42.
- Gibbs HK, Rausch L, Munger J, Schelly I, Morton DC, Noojipady P, Soares-Filho B, Barreto P, Micol L, and Walker NF. 2015. Brazil's soy moratorium. *Science*, 347(6220): 377–378.
- Gibbs HK and Salmon JM. 2015. Mapping the world's degraded lands. *Applied Geography*, 57: 12–21.
- Glauber A and Gunawan I. 2016. *The cost of fire: An economic analysis of Indonesia's 2015 fire crisis*. Washington, DC: World Bank
- Global Witness. 2017. *Defenders of the Earth*. London, UK: Global Witness.
- Gockowski J, and Sonwa D. 2010. Cocoa intensification scenarios and their predicted impact on CO₂ emissions, biodiversity conservation, and rural livelihoods in the Guinea rain forest of West Africa. *Environmental Management*, 48(2): 307–321.
- Goetz SJ, Hansen M, Houghton RA, Walker W, Laporte N, and Busch J. 2015. Measurement and monitoring needs, capabilities and potential for addressing reduced emissions from deforestation and forest degradation under REDD+. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(12): 123001.
- Gonçalves VK. 2017. Climate Change and International Civil Aviation Negotiations. *Contexto Internacional*, 39(2): 443–458.
- Government of Brazil. 2004. *Plano de Ação para Prevenção e Controle do Desmatamento na Amazônia Legal*. Brasília: Ministério do Meio Ambiente (MMA).
- Government of Brazil. 2013. *Plano de Ação para Prevenção e Controle do Desmatamento na Amazônia Legal (PPCDAM): 3ª fase (2012–2015) pelo Uso Sustentável e Conservação da Floresta*. Brasília: Ministério do Meio Ambiente (MMA).
- Government of Brazil. 2016. *ENREDD+: Estratégia Nacional para REDD+*. Brasília: Ministério do Meio Ambiente (MMA).
- Grace J, Mitchard E, and Gloor E. 2014. Perturbations in the carbon budget of the tropics. *Global Change Biology*, 20: 3238–3255.
- Graesser J, Aide TM, Grau HR, and Ramankutty N. 2015. Cropland/pastureland dynamics and the slowdown of deforestation in Latin America. *Environmental Research Letters*, 10(3): 034017.
- Green Climate Fund. 2016. *Progress and outlook report of the Readiness and Preparatory Support Programme*. Apia, Samoa: Green Climate Fund.
- Greenleaf M. 2010. Using carbon rights to curb deforestation and empower forest communities. *New York University Environmental Law Journal*, 18: 507–599.
- Greenpeace. 2018. *The moment of truth*. Amsterdam, The Netherlands: Greenpeace.

- Griscom BW, Adams J, Ellis PW, Houghton RA, Lomax G, Miteva DA, Schlesinger WH, Shoch D, Siikamäki JV, Smith P, Woodbury P, Zganjar C, Blackman A, Campari J, Conant RT, Delgado C, Elias P, Gopalakrishna T, Hamsik MR, Herrero M, Kiesecker J, Landis E, Laestadius L, Leavitt SM, Minnemeyer S, Polasky S, Potapov P, Putz FE, Sanderman J, Silvius M, Wollenberg E, and Fargione J. 2017. Natural climate solutions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 114(44): 11645–11650.
- Gromko D. 2016, 2 May 2016. Ethiopia's farmers fight devastating drought with land restoration. *The Guardian*. [accessed 25 November 2018]. <https://www.theguardian.com/sustainable-business/2016/may/02/ethiopia-famine-drought-land-restoration>
- Groom B, Palmer C, and Sileci L. 2018. *REDD+ as an area-based policy: Evidence from the 2011 Moratorium on oil palm, timber and logging concessions in Indonesia*. London, UK: Department of Geography and the Environment, London School of Economics and Political Science.
- GRZ (Government of the Republic of Zambia). 2014. *National Forestry Policy*. Lusaka, Zambia: Ministry of Lands, Natural Resources and Environmental Protection, Government of the Republic of Zambia (GRZ).
- GRZ (Government of the Republic of Zambia). 2016a. *Second National Agricultural Policy*. Lusaka, Zambia: Ministry of Agriculture and Ministry of Livestock and Fisheries, Government of the Republic of Zambia (GRZ).
- GRZ (Government of the Republic of Zambia). 2016b. *National Policy on Climate Change*. Lusaka, Zambia: Ministry of National Development Planning, Government of the Republic of Zambia (GRZ).
- Gupta A, Lövbrand E, Turnhout E, and Vijge MJ. 2012. In pursuit of carbon accountability: The politics of REDD+ measuring, reporting and verification systems. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4(6): 726–731.
- Gupta A, Vijge MJ, Turnhout E, and Pistorius T. 2014. Making REDD+ Transparent: The politics of Measuring, Reporting and Verification. In Gupta A, and Mason M, eds. *Transparency in global environmental governance: Critical perspectives*. Cambridge, MA: MIT Press. 181–201.
- Gutiérrez Rodríguez L, Hogarth NJ, Zhou W, Xie C, Zhang K, and Putzel L. 2016. China's conversion of cropland to forest program: A systematic review of the environmental and socioeconomic effects. *Environmental Evidence*, 5(1): 1–22.
- Hamrick K and Gallant M. 2017. *Unlocking potential: State of the voluntary carbon markets 2017*. Washington, DC: Forest Trends.
- Hansen MC, Potapov PV, Moore R, Hancher M, Turbanova SA, Tyukavina A, Thau D, Stehman SV, Goetz SJ, Loveland TR, Kommareddy A, Egorov A, Chini L, Justice CO, and Townshend JRG. 2013a. High-resolution global maps of 21st-century forest cover change. *Science*, 342(6160): 850–853.

- Hansen MC, Potapov PV, Moore R, Hancher M, Turubanova SA, Tyukavina A, Thau D, Stehman SV, Goetz SJ, Loveland TR, Kommareddy A, Egorov A, Chini L, Justice CO, and Townshend JRG. 2013b. Hansen/UMD/Google/USGS/NASA Tree Cover Loss and Gain Area. University of Maryland, Google, USGS, and NASA. Washington, DC: Global Forest Watch. [accessed 15 November 2018]. www.globalforestwatch.org
- Harada K, Prabowo D, Aliadi A, Ichihara J, and Ma H-O. 2015. How can social safeguards of REDD+ function effectively conserve forests and improve local livelihoods? A case from Meru Betiri National Park, East Java, Indonesia. *Land*, 4(1): 119-139.
- Hargita Y, Günter S, and Köthke M. 2015. Brazil submitted the first REDD+ reference level to the UNFCCC - Implications regarding climate effectiveness and cost-efficiency. *Land Use Policy*, 55: 340-347.
- Hargrave J and Kis-Katos K. 2013. Economic causes of deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon: A panel data analysis for the 2000s. *Environmental and Resource Economics*, 54(4): 471-494.
- Harries E, Hodgson L, and Noble J. 2018. *Creating your theory of change: NPC's practical guide*. [accessed 22 November 2018]. <https://www.thinknpc.org/resource-hub/creating-your-theory-of-change-npcs-practical-guide>
- Harris NL, Goldman E, Gabris C, Nordling J, Minnemeyer S, Ansari S, Lippmann M, Bennett L, Raad M, Hansen M, and Potapov P. 2017. Using spatial statistics to identify emerging hot spots of forest loss. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(2): 024012.
- Haryanto JT. 2017. National & Sub-National Climate Budgeting in Indonesia. [accessed 22 November 2018]. http://www.unpei.org/system/files_force/National%20and%20Subnational%20Climate%20Budgeting%20in%20Indonesia.pdf?download=1
- Hatcher J. 2009. *Securing Tenure Rights and Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Degradation (REDD): Costs and Lessons Learned*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Haupt F, Bakhtary H, Schulte I, Galt H, and Streck C. 2018. *Progress on Corporate Commitments and their Implementation*. Amsterdam: Climate Focus.
- Hein J, Guarin A, Frommé E, and Pauw P. 2018. Deforestation and the Paris climate agreement: An assessment of REDD+ in the national climate action plans. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 90: 7-11.
- Helland J and Mæstad O. 2015. *Experiences with Result-Based Aid in Norwegian Development Aid*. Report No. 4/2015. Oslo, Norway: Evaluation Department, NORAD.
- Henders S, Ostwald M, Verendel V, and Ibisch P. 2018. Do national strategies under the UN biodiversity and climate conventions address agricultural commodity consumption as deforestation driver? *Land Use Policy*, 70: 580-590.

- Henderson I and Coello J. 2013. REDD+ finance: What do we know about the private sector contribution? Washington, DC: Forest Trends. [accessed 22 November 2018]. https://www.forest-trends.org/ecosystem_marketplace/redd-finance-what-do-we-know-br-about-the-private-sector-contribution
- Hermansen EAT, and Kasa S. 2014. *Climate Policy Constraints and NGO Entrepreneurship: The Story of Norway's Leadership in REDD+ Financing*. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development.
- Hertel TW. 2012. *Implications of agricultural productivity for global cropland use and GHG emissions: Borlaug vs. Jevons*. Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP) Working Papers No. 4020. West Lafayette, IN: Global Trade Analysis Project (GTAP).
- Hertel TW, Ramankutty N, and Baldos ULC. 2014. Global market integration increases likelihood that a future African Green Revolution could increase crop land use and CO² emissions. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 111(38): 13799-13804.
- Hickey S and Mohan G, eds. 2004. *Participation: from tyranny to transformation?: Exploring new approaches to participation in development*. London UK: Zed Books.
- Hiraldo R and Tanner TM. 2012. The role of ideologies in framing the REDD+ agenda. In Angelsen A, Brockhaus M, Sunderlin WD, and Verchot LV, eds. *Analysing REDD+: Challenges and choices*. p. 37-38. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- HLPE (The High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition). 2017. *Sustainable Forestry for Food Security and Nutrition: A Report by the High Level Panel of Experts on Food Security and Nutrition*. HLPE Report No. 11. Rome, Italy: Food and Agriculture Organization of the United Nations (FAO).
- Hoff R van der, Rajão R, and Leroy P. 2018. Clashing interpretations of REDD+ 'results' in the Amazon Fund. *Climatic Change*, 150(3-4): 433-445.
- Hoff R van der, Rajão R, Leroy P, and Boezeman D. 2015. The parallel materialization of REDD+ implementation discourses in Brazil. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 55: 37-45.
- Honey-Rosés J, Baylis K, and Ramírez MI. 2011. A spatially explicit estimate of avoided forest loss. *Conservation Biology*, 25(5): 1032-1043.
- Hosonuma N, Herold M, De Sy V, De Fries RSRS, Brockhaus M, Verchot L, Angelsen A, and Romijn E. 2012. An assessment of deforestation and forest degradation drivers in developing countries. *Environmental Research Letters*, 7(4): 44009.
- Hospes O. 2014. Marking the success or end of global multi-stakeholder governance? The rise of national sustainability standards in Indonesia and Brazil for palm oil and soy. *Agriculture and Human Values*, 31(3): 425-437.
- Houghton RA and Nassikas AA. 2017. Global and regional fluxes of carbon from land use and land cover change 1850-2015. *Global Biogeochemical Cycles*, 31(3): 456-472.

- Howlett M. 2004. Beyond good and evil in policy implementation: Instrument mixes, implementation styles, and second generation theories of policy instrument choice. *Policy and Society*, 23(2): 1–17.
- Huberty M, Gao H, Mandell J, and Zysman J. 2011. *Shaping the Green Growth Economy: A review of the public debate and the prospects for green growth*. Berkeley, CA: The Berkeley Roundtable on the International Economy.
- Huijnen V, Wooster MJ, Kaiser JW, Gaveau DLA, Flemming J, Parrington M, Inness A, Murdiyarso D, Main B, and van Weele M. 2016. Fire carbon emissions over maritime southeast Asia in 2015 largest since 1997. *Scientific Reports*, 6: 26886.
- Human Rights Council. 2018. *Report of the Special Rapporteur on the rights of indigenous peoples. A/HRC/39/17. United Nations General Assembly*. New York, USA: United Nations.
- Human Rights Defenders. 2017. *Joint statement from environmental rights defenders workshop, Johannesburg, 7–9 August 2017*. Johannesburg, South Africa: CIVICUS. www.civicus.org
- Ickowitz A, Sills E, and de Sassi C. 2017. Estimating smallholder opportunity costs of REDD+: A pantropical analysis from households to carbon and back. *World Development*, 95: 15–26.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2013. Climate change: The physical science basis. Working Group I contribution to the fifth assessment report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change. In Stocker TF, Qin D, Plattner G-K, Tignor M, Allen SK, Boschung J, Nauels A, Xia Y, Bex V, and Midgley PM, eds. *Climate change 2013*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2014. *Climate Change 2014: IPCC 5th Assessment Synthesis Report – Approved Summary for Policy Makers*. No. 978-92-9169-143-2. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC.
- IPCC (Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change). 2018. *Global Warming of 1.5 °C. Special Report. Summary for Policymakers*. Geneva, Switzerland: IPCC.
- Ilttersum MK van, van Bussel LGJ, Wolf J, Grassini P, van Wart J, Guilpart N, Claessens L, de Groot H, Wiebe K, Mason-D’Croz D, Yang H, Boogaard H, van Oort PAJ, van Loon MP, Saito K, Adimo O, Adjei-Nsiah S, Agali A, Bala A, Chikowo R, Kaizzi K, Kouressy M, Makoi JHJR, Ouattara K, Tesfaye K, and Cassman KG. 2016. Can sub-Saharan Africa feed itself? *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113(52): 14964–14969.
- Jack BK and Jayachandran S. 2018. Self-selection into payments for ecosystem services programs. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*. doi: 10.1073/pnas.1802868115
- Jack BK, Kousky C, and Sims KRE. 2008. Designing payments for ecosystem services: Lessons from previous experience with incentive-based mechanisms.

- Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 105(28): 9465–9470.
- Jacob K, Kauppert P, and Quitzow R. 2013. *Green growth strategies in Asia: Drivers and political entry points*. Bonn, Germany: Friedrich Ebert Stiftung (FES).
- Jagger P, Brockhaus M, Duchelle AE, Gebara MF, Lawlor K, Resosudarmo IAP, and Sunderlin WD. 2014. Multi-level policy dialogues, processes, and actions: Challenges and opportunities for national REDD+ safeguards measurement, reporting, and verification (MRV). *Forests*, 5(9): 2136–2162.
- Jagger P and Rana P. 2017. Using publicly available social and spatial data to evaluate progress on REDD+ social safeguards in Indonesia. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 76: 59–69.
- Jagger P, Sellers S, Kittner N, Das I, and Bush GK. 2018. Looking for medium-term conservation and development impacts of community management agreements in Uganda’s Rwenzori Mountains National Park. *Ecological Economics*, 152: 199–206.
- Jayachandran S. 2013. Liquidity constraints and deforestation: The limitations of payments for ecosystem services. *The American Economic Review*, 103(3): 309–313.
- Jayachandran S, Laat JD, Lambin EF, Stanton CY, Audy R, and Thomas NE. 2017. Cash for carbon: A randomized trial of payments for ecosystem services to reduce deforestation. *Science*, 357(6348): 267–273.
- Jindal R, Kerr JM, Ferraro PJ, and Swallow BM. 2013. Social dimensions of procurement auctions for environmental service contracts: Evaluating tradeoffs between cost-effectiveness and participation by the poor in rural Tanzania. *Land Use Policy*, 31: 71–80.
- Jodoin S. 2017. *Forest Preservation in a Changing Climate: REDD+ and Indigenous and Community Rights in Indonesia and Tanzania*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Jones KW, Holland MB, Naughton-Treves L, Morales M, Suarez L, and Keenan K. 2016. Forest conservation incentives and deforestation in the Ecuadorian Amazon. *Environmental Conservation*, 44(1): 56–65.
- Jones KW, and Lewis DJ. 2015. Estimating the counterfactual impact of conservation programs on land cover outcomes: The role of matching and panel regression techniques. *PLoS ONE*, 10(10): e0141380.
- Jones XH and Franks P. 2015. *Food vs forests in sub-Saharan Africa: A challenge for the SDGs*. London: International Institute for Environment and Development
- Jong H. 2017, 27 October 2017. RAPP to retire some plantation land in Sumatra amid government pressure. Mongabay. Menlo Park, CA: Mongabay. [accessed 25 November 2018]. <https://news.mongabay.com/2017/10/rapp-to-retire-some-concessions-in-sumatra-amid-government-pressure>

- Jong H. 2018, 10 August 2018. Indonesia's 'One Map' database blasted for excluding indigenous lands. Mongabay. Menlo Park, CA: Mongabay. [accessed 25 November 2018]. <https://news.mongabay.com/2018/08/indonesias-one-map-database-blasted-for-excluding-indigenous-lands>
- Jopke P and Schoneveld G. 2018. *Corporate commitments to zero deforestation: An evaluation of externality problems and implementation gaps*. Occasional Paper No. 181. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Kahurani E, Sirait M, van Noordwijk M, and Pradhan U. 2013. *Indonesia upholds indigenous people's rights to forest*. Bogor, Indonesia: World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF).
- Kaimowitz D and Angelsen A. 2008. Will livestock intensification help save Latin America's forests? *Journal of Sustainable Forestry*, 27(1-2): 6-24.
- Kariuki J and Birner R. 2016. Are market-based conservation schemes gender-blind? A qualitative study of three cases from Kenya. *Society and Natural Resources*, 29(4): 432-447.
- Karsenty A, Romero C, Cerutti PO, Doucet J-L, Putz FE, Bernard C, Eba'a Atyi R, Douard P, Claeys F, Desbureaux S, Blas DEd, Fayolle A, Fomété T, Forni E, Gond V, Gourlet-Fleury S, Kleinschroth F, Mortier F, Nasi R, Nguingui JC, Vermeulen C, and de Wasseige C. 2017. Deforestation and timber production in Congo after implementation of sustainable management policy: A reaction to the article by J.S. Brandt, C. Nolte and A. Agrawal (*Land Use Policy* 52:15-22). *Land Use Policy*, 65: 62-65.
- Karsenty A, Vogel A, and Castell F. 2014. "Carbon rights", REDD+ and payments for environmental services. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 35: 20-29.
- Karstensen J, Peters GP, and Andrew RM. 2013. Attribution of CO₂ emissions from Brazilian deforestation to consumers between 1990 and 2010. *Environmental Research Letters*, 8(2): 024005.
- Kassa H. 2018. *Reshaping the Terrain: Landscape Restoration in Ethiopia*. GLF Factsheet. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Kassa H, Bihane E, Bekele M, Lemenih M, Tadesse W, Cronkleton P, Putzel L, and Baral H. 2017. Shared strengths and limitations of participatory forest management and area ex closure: Two major state led landscape rehabilitation mechanisms in Ethiopia. *International Forestry Review*, 19(S4): 51-61.
- Kasztelan A. 2017. Green growth, green economy and sustainable development: Terminological and relational discourse. *Prague Economic Papers*, 2017(4): 487-499.
- Kelly AB and Peluso NL. 2015. Frontiers of commodification: State lands and their formalization. *Society and Natural Resources*, 28(5): 473-495.

- Kerr SC. 2013. The economics of international policy agreements to reduce emissions from deforestation and degradation. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 7(1): 47–66.
- Khatri DB, Pham TT, Di Gregorio M, Karki R, Paudel NS, Brockhaus M, and Bhushal R. 2016. REDD+ politics in the media: A case from Nepal. *Climatic Change*, 138(1): 309–323.
- Khatun K, Corbera E, and Ball S. 2017. Fire is REDD+: Offsetting carbon through early burning activities in south-eastern Tanzania. *Oryx*, 51(1): 43–52.
- Khuc QV, Tran BQ, Meyfroidt P, and Paschke MW. 2018. Drivers of deforestation and forest degradation in Vietnam: An exploratory analysis at the national level. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 90: 128–141.
- Kissinger G, Herold M, and De Sy V. 2012. *Drivers of Deforestation and Forest Degradation: A Synthesis Report for REDD+ Policymaker*. Vancouver, Canada: Lexeme Consulting.
- Klingebiel S and Janus H. 2014. Results-based aid: Potential and limits of an innovative modality in development cooperation. *International Development Policy*, 6(1).
- Korhonen-Kurki K, Brockhaus M, Bushley B, Babon A, Gebara MF, Kengoum F, Pham TT, Rantala S, Moeliono M, and Dwisatrio B. 2015. Coordination and cross-sectoral integration in REDD+: Experiences from seven countries. *Climate and Development*, 8(5): 458–471.
- Korhonen-Kurki K, Brockhaus M, Muharrom E, Juhola S, Moeliono M, Maharani C, and Dwisatrio B. 2017. Analyzing REDD+ as an experiment of transformative climate governance: Insights from Indonesia. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 73: 61–70.
- Korhonen-Kurki K, Brockhaus M, Sehring J, di Gregorio M, Assembe-Mvondo S, Babon A, Bekele M, Benn V, Gebara MF, Kambire HW, Kengoum F, Maharani C, Menton M, Moeliono M, Ochieng R, Paudel NS, Pham TT, Dkamela GP, and Siteo A. 2018. What drives policy change for REDD+? A qualitative comparative analysis of the interplay between institutional and policy arena factors. *Climate Policy*. doi: 10.1080/14693062.2018.1507897
- Korhonen-Kurki K, Sehring J, Brockhaus M, and Di Gregorio M. 2014. Enabling factors for establishing REDD + in a context of weak governance. *Climate Policy*, 14(2): 1–20.
- Kowler LF and Larson AM. 2016. *Beyond the technical: The politics of developing the MRV system in Peru*. CIFOR Infobrief No. 133. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Kowler LF, Ravikumar A, Larson AM, Rodriguez-Ward D, Burga C, and Tovar JG. 2016. *Analyzing multilevel governance in Peru: Lessons for REDD+ from the study of land-use change and benefit sharing in Madre de Dios, Ucayali and San Martin*. Working Paper No. 203. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).

- Kroeger A, Bakhtary H, Haupt F, and Streck C. 2017. *Eliminating deforestation from the cocoa supply chain*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- Kumasi TC and Asenso-Okyere K. 2011. *Responding to Land Degradation in the Highlands of Tigray, Northern Ethiopia*. IFPRI Discussion Paper No. 01142. Washington, DC: International Food Policy Research Institute (IFPRI).
- Lambin EF, Gibbs HK, Heilmayr R, Carlson KM, Fleck LC, Garrett RD, le Polain de Waroux Y, McDermott CL, McLaughlin D, Newton P, Nolte C, Pacheco P, Rausch LL, Streck C, Thorlakson T, and Walker NF. 2018. The role of supply-chain initiatives in reducing deforestation. *Nature Climate Change*, 8(2): 109-116.
- Lambin EF, Meyfroidt P, Rueda X, Blackman A, Börner J, Cerutti PO, Dietsch T, Jungmann L, Lamarque P, Lister J, Walker NF, and Wunder S. 2014. Effectiveness and synergies of policy instruments for land use governance in tropical regions. *Global Environmental Change*, 28(1): 129-140.
- Landry J and Chirwa PW. 2011. Analysis of the potential socio-economic impact of establishing plantation forestry on rural communities in Sanga district, Niassa province, Mozambique. *Land Use Policy*, 28(3): 542-551.
- LAPAN (National Agency for Aviation and Space). 2015. *Perkiraan Luas dan Sebaran Daerah Terbakar di Indonesia*. Jakarta: National Agency for Aviation and Space (LAPAN).
- Larson A, Barry D, and Dahal G. 2010. Tenure change in the global south. In Larson AM, Barry D, Dahal GR, and Colfer CP, eds. *Forests for people: Community rights and forest tenure reform*. London, UK: Earthscan.
- Larson AM. 2011. Forest tenure reform in the age of climate change: Lessons for REDD+. *Global Environmental Change*, 21(2): 540-549.
- Larson AM, Brockhaus M, Sunderlin WD, Duchelle AE, Babon A, Dokken T, Pham TT, Resosudarmo IAP, Selaya G, Awono A, and Huynh T-B. 2013. Land tenure and REDD+: The good, the bad and the ugly. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(3): 678-689.
- Larson AM, Dokken T, Duchelle AE, Atmadja S, Resosudarmo IAP, Cronkleton P, Cromberg M, Sunderlin W, Awono A, and Selaya G. 2015. The role of women in early REDD+ implementation: Lessons for future engagement. *International Forestry Review*, 17(1): 43-65.
- Larson AM and Pulhin JM. 2012. Enhancing forest tenure reforms through more responsive regulations. *Conservation and Society*, 10(2): 103-113.
- Larson AM and Ribot JC. 2009. Lessons from forestry decentralisation. In Angelsen A, Brockhaus M, Kanninen M, Sills E, Sunderlin W, and Wertz-Kanounnikoff S, eds. *Realising REDD+: National Strategy and Policy Options*. p. 175-190. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Larson AM, Solis D, Duchelle AE, Atmadja S, Resosudarmo IAP, Dokken T, and Komalasari M. 2018. Gender lessons for climate initiatives: A comparative study of REDD+ impacts on subjective wellbeing. *World Development*, 108: 86-102.

- Larson AM and Springer J. 2016. *Recognition and respect for tenure rights*. NRGF Conceptual Paper. Gland, Switzerland: International Union for the Conservation of Nature (IUCN); Commission on Environmental, Economic and Social Policy (CEESP); Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Lee D and Pistorius T. 2015. *The Impacts of International REDD+ Finance*. San Francisco, CA: Climate and Land Use Alliance.
- Li TM. 2007. *The Will to Improve: Governmentality, Development, and the Practice of Politics*. Durham, NC: Duke University Press.
- Libert Amico A, Larson AM, Ravikumar A, Myers R, Trench T, Gonzales Tovar G, Sanders A, Rodriguez-Ward D, Kowler LF, Yang AL, Deschamps PR, and Martius C. 2018. *Can multilevel governance transform business-as-usual trajectories driving deforestation? Lessons for REDD+ and beyond*. CIFOR InfoBrief No. 235. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Lindenmayer DB, Zammit C, Attwood SJ, Burns E, Shepherd CL, Kay G, and Wood J. 2012. A novel and cost-effective monitoring approach for outcomes in an Australian biodiversity conservation incentive program. *PLoS ONE*, 7(12): e50872.
- Lipper L, Thornton P, Campbell BM, Baedeker T, Braimoh A, Bwalya M, Caron P, Cattaneo A, Garrity D, Henry K, Hottle R, Jackson L, Jarvis A, Kossam F, Mann W, McCarthy N, Meybeck A, Neufeldt H, Remington T, Sen PT, Sessa R, Shula R, Tibu A, and Torquebiau EF. 2014. Climate-smart agriculture for food security. *Nature Climate Change*, 4: 1068.
- Lipper L, and Zilberman D. 2018. A Short History of the Evolution of the Climate Smart Agriculture Approach and Its Links to Climate Change and Sustainable Agriculture Debates. In Lipper L, McCarthy N, Zilberman D, Asfaw S, and Branca G, eds. *Climate Smart Agriculture: Building Resilience to Climate Change*. Berlin: Springer International Publishing. 13-30.
- Liscow ZD. 2013. Do property rights promote investment but cause deforestation? Quasi-experimental evidence from Nicaragua. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 65(2): 241-261.
- Liu Z, Gong Y, and Kontoleon A. 2018. How do payments for environmental services affect land tenure? Theory and evidence from China. *Ecological Economics*, 144: 195-213.
- Liu Z and Lan J. 2018. The effect of the sloping land conversion programme on farm household productivity in rural China. *Journal of Development Studies*, 54(6): 1041-1059.
- Loaiza T, Borja M, Nehren U, and Gerold G. 2017. Analysis of land management and legal arrangements in the Ecuadorian Northeastern Amazon as preconditions for REDD+ implementation. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 83: 19-28.
- Loaiza T, Nehren U, and Gerold G. 2016. REDD+ implementation in the Ecuadorian Amazon: Why land configuration and common-pool resources management matter. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 70: 67-79.

- Loft L, Le DN, Pham TT, Yang AL, Tjajadi JS, and Wong GY. 2017a. Whose equity matters? National to local equity perceptions in Vietnam's payments for forest ecosystem services scheme. *Ecological Economics*, 135: 164-175.
- Loft L, Pham TT, Wong GY, Brockhaus M, Le DN, Tjajadi JS, and Luttrell C. 2017b. Risks to REDD+: Potential pitfalls for policy design and implementation. *Environmental Conservation*, 44(1): 44-55.
- Loft L, Ravikumar A, Gebara MF, Pham TT, Resosudarmo IAP, Assembe S, Tovar JG, Mwangi E, and Andersson K. 2015. Taking stock of carbon rights in REDD+ candidate countries: concept meets reality. *Forests*, 6(4): 1031-1060.
- Lounela A. 2015. Climate change disputes and justice in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 56(1, SI): 62-78.
- Lubowski RN and Rose SK. 2013. The potential for REDD+: Key economic modeling insights and issues. *Review of Environmental Economics and Policy*, 7(1): 67-90.
- Lund JF, Sungusia E, Mabele MB, and Scheba A. 2017. Promising change, delivering continuity: REDD+ as conservation fad. *World Development*, 89: 124-139.
- Luttrell C, Komarudin H, Zrust M, Pacheco P, Limberg G, Nurfatriani F, Wibowo L, Hakim I, and Pirard R. 2018a. *Implementing sustainability commitments for palm oil in Indonesia: Governance arrangements of sustainability initiatives involving public and private actors*. Working Paper No. 241. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Luttrell C, Sills E, Aryani R, Ekaputri AD, and Evinke MF. 2018b. Beyond opportunity costs: Who bears the implementation costs of reducing emissions from deforestation and degradation? *Mitigation and Adaptation Strategies for Global Change*, 23(2):291-310.
- Luttrell C, Sills EO, Aryani R, Ekaputri AD, and Evinke MF. 2016. *Who will bear the cost of REDD+? Evidence from subnational REDD+ initiatives*. CIFOR Working Paper No. 204. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Luttrell C, Resosudarmo IAP, Muharrom E, Brockhaus M, and Seymour F. 2014. The political context of REDD+ in Indonesia: Constituencies for change. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 35:67-75.
- Luttrell C, Loft L, Fernanda Gebara M, Kweka D, Brockhaus M, Angelsen A, and Sunderlin WD. 2013. Who should benefit from REDD+? Rationales and realities. *Ecology and Society*, 18(4):Art 52.
- Macura B, Secco L, and Pullin AS. 2015. What evidence exists on the impact of governance type on the conservation effectiveness of forest protected areas? Knowledge base and evidence gaps. *Environmental Evidence*, 4(1): 24-24.
- Maertens M, Zeller M, and Birner R. 2006. Sustainable agricultural intensification in forest frontier areas. *Agricultural Economics*, 34(2): 197-206.
- Maini R, Mounier-Jack S, and Borghi J. 2018. How to and how not to develop a theory of change to evaluate a complex intervention: Reflections on an experience in the Democratic Republic of Congo. *BMJ Global Health*, 3(1): e000617.

- MAPA/ACS. 2012. *Plano setorial de mitigação e de adaptação às mudanças climáticas para a consolidação de uma economia de baixa emissão de carbono na agricultura: Plano ABC (Agricultura de Baixa Emissão de Carbono)/ Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento, Ministério do Desenvolvimento Agrário, coordenação da Casa Civil da Presidência da República*. Brasília: Ministério da Agricultura, Pecuária e Abastecimento.
- MARD (Ministry of Agriculture and Rural Development). 2016. *Participatory self-assessment of the REDD+ readiness package in Vietnam*. Hanoi, Vietnam: MARD, Government of Vietnam.
- Martin A, Coolsaet B, Corbera E, Dawson NM, Fraser JA, Lehmann I, and Rodriguez I. 2016. Justice and conservation: The need to incorporate recognition. *Biological Conservation*, 197: 254–261.
- Martius C, Sunderlin W, Brockhaus M, Duchelle A, Larson A, Thuy PT, Wong G, and Verchot L. 2015. *Low-emission development strategies (LEDS): How can REDD+ contribute?* CIFOR Infobrief No. 131. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Massarella K, Sallu SM, Ensor JE, and Marchant R. 2018. REDD+, hype, hope and disappointment: The dynamics of expectations in conservation and development pilot projects. *World Development*, 109: 375–385.
- Matakala PW, Kokwe M, and Statz J. 2015. *Zambia national strategy to reduce emissions from deforestation and forest degradation (REDD+)*. Lusaka, Zambia: Ministry of Lands, Natural Resources and Environmental Protection and UN-REDD Programme, Government of Zambia.
- Mather AS. 1992. The forest transition. *Area*, 24(4): 367–379.
- May P, Gebara MF, Barcellos LMD, Rizek MB, and Millikan B. 2016. *The context of REDD+ in Brazil: Drivers, actors and institutions – 3rd edition*. Occasional Paper No. 160. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- McCall MK. 2016. Beyond “landscape” in REDD+: The imperative for “territory”. *World Development*, 85: 58–72.
- McElwee P, Nguyen VHT, Nguyen DV, Tran NH, Le HVT, Nghiem TP, and Vu HDT. 2017. Using REDD+ Policy to Facilitate Climate Adaptation at the Local Level: Synergies and Challenges in Vietnam. *Forests*, 8(1): 11.
- McFarland W, Whitley S, and Kissinger G. 2015. *Subsidies to key commodities driving forest loss finance*. London, UK: Overseas Development Institute.
- McMurray A, Casarim FM, O’Sullivan R, and Andrasko K. 2017. *The Relationship between LEDS and REDD+: Case studies from Peru and Guatemala*. Washington, DC: Winrock International (WI).
- MEFCC (Ministry of Environment Forest and Climate Change). 2018. *National Potential and Priority Maps for Tree-Based Landscape Restoration in Ethiopia. Technical Report*. Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: Ministry of Environment, Forest and Climate Change.

- Méndez-Toribio M, Martínez-Garza C, Ceccon E, and Guariguata MR. 2018. La restauración de ecosistemas terrestres en México. Estado actual, necesidades y oportunidades. CIFOR Occasional Paper # 185. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Menton M, Ferguson C, Leimu-Brown R, Leonard S, Brockhaus M, Duchelle AE, and Martius C. 2014. *Further guidance for REDD+ safeguard information systems?: An analysis of positions in the UNFCCC negotiations*. CIFOR Infobrief No. 99. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Meyfroidt P, Lambin EF, Erb KH, and Hertel TW. 2013. Globalization of land use: Distant drivers of land change and geographic displacement of land use. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 5(5): 438-444.
- Milne S, Mahanty S, To P, Dressler W, Kanowski P, and Thavat M. 2018. Learning from 'actually existing' REDD+: A synthesis of ethnographic findings. *Conservation and Society*. doi: 10.4103/cs.cs_18_13
- Minang PA, van Noordwijk M, Freeman OE, Mbow C, Leeuw JD, and Catacutan D. 2015. *Climate-smart landscapes: Multifunctionality in practice*. Nairobi, Kenya: World Agroforestry Centre (ICRAF).
- Minang PA, van Noordwijk M, Duguma LA, Alemagi D, Do TH, Bernard F, Agung P, Robiglio V, Catacutan D, Suyanto S, Armas A, Silva Aguad C, Feudjio M, Galudra G, Maryani R, White D, Widayati A, Kahurani E, Namirembe S, and Leimona B. 2014. REDD+ readiness progress across countries: Time for reconsideration. *Climate Policy*, 14(6): 685-708.
- Minang P, Bernard F, van Noordwijk M, and Kahurani E. 2011. *Agroforestry in REDD+: Opportunities and Challenges*. ASB Policy Brief No. 26. Nairobi, Kenya: ASB Partnership for the Tropical Forest Margins.
- Miteva DA, Murray BC, and Pattanayak SK. 2015. Do protected areas reduce blue carbon emissions? A quasi-experimental evaluation of mangroves in Indonesia. *Ecological Economics*, 119: 127-135.
- Miteva DA, Pattanayak SK, and Ferraro PJ. 2012. Evaluation of biodiversity policy instruments: What works and what doesn't? *Oxford Review of Economic Policy*, 28(1): 69-92.
- Mithöfer D, Méndez VE, Bose A, and Vaast P. 2017. Harnessing local strength for sustainable coffee value chains in India and Nicaragua: Reevaluating certification to global sustainability standards. *International Journal of Biodiversity Science, Ecosystem Services & Management*, 13(1): 471-496.
- Moeliono M, Pham TT, Bong IW, Wong GY, and Brockhaus M. 2017. Social forestry- why and for whom? *Forest and Society*, 1(2): 1-20.
- Monbiot G. 2015, 12 December 2015. Grand promises of Paris Climate deal undermined by squalid retrenchments. *The Guardian*. [accessed 25 November 2018]. <https://www.theguardian.com/environment/georgemonbiot/2015/dec/12/paris-climate-deal-governments-fossil-fuels>

- Monterroso I, Cronkleton P, Pinedo D, and Larson AM. 2017. *Reclaiming Collective Rights: Land and Forest Tenure Reforms in Peru (1960–2016)*. Working Paper No. 224. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Monterroso I and Larson AM. 2018a. *Desafíos del proceso de formalización de derechos de CCNN en Perú*. InfoBrief No. 220. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Monterroso I and Larson AM. 2018b. *Avances del proceso de formalización de derechos de comunidades nativas en la Amazonía peruana (2014–2018)*. InfoBrief No. 219. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Mora B. 2018. *User needs assessment for forest change early warning systems*. GFOI (Global Forests Observations Initiative) Early Warning Working Group report. Rome: Global Forests Observations Initiative (GFOI) [accessed 25 November 2018] http://www.gfoi.org/wp-content/uploads/2018/07/UNA_Early-Warning-systems_final-published.pdf
- Morris D and Stevenson A. 2011. *REDD+ and international climate finance: A brief primer*. Issue Brief No. 11–13. Washington, DC: Resources for the Future.
- Mosley P. 1987. *Overseas Aid: Its Defence and Reform*. Brighton, UK: Wheatsheaf Books.
- Müller B, Fankhauser S, and Forstater M. 2013a. *Quantity performance payment by results: Operationalizing enhanced direct access for mitigation at the Green Climate Fund*. Oxford, UK: Oxford Institute for Energy Studies.
- Müller R, Pistorius T, Rohde S, Gerold G, and Pacheco P. 2013b. Policy options to reduce deforestation based on a systematic analysis of drivers and agents in lowland Bolivia. *Land Use Policy*, 30(1): 895–907.
- Mulyani M and Jepson P. 2015. Social learning through a REDD+ ‘village agreement’: Insights from the KFCP in Indonesia. *Asia Pacific Viewpoint*, 56(1, SI): 79–95.
- Mumssen Y, Johannes L, and Kumar G. 2010. *Output-based aid: Lessons learned and best practices*. Washington DC: World Bank. [accessed 25 November 2018]. <https://openknowledge.worldbank.org/handle/10986/2423>
- Murcia C, Guariguata MR, Andrade Á, Andrade GI, Aronson J, Escobar EM, Etter A, Moreno FH, Ramírez W, and Montes E. 2016. Challenges and prospects for scaling-up ecological restoration to meet international commitments: Colombia as a case study. *Conservation Letters*, 9(3): 213–220.
- Murcia C. and Guariguata M. 2014. *La restauración ecológica en Colombia. Tendencias, necesidades y oportunidades*. CIFOR Occasional Paper No. 107. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Myers R, Sanders AJ, Larson AM, and Ravikumar A. 2016. *Analyzing multilevel governance in Indonesia: Lessons for REDD+ from the study of landuse change in Central and West Kalimantan*. CIFOR Working Paper No. 202. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).

- Nababan A and Arizona Y. 2016. *Indonesia: Three Years Constitutional Court Ruling No. 35/PUU-X/2012 on Customary Forest - Indigenous Peoples' Rights Have to be Fought Together*. Chiang Mai, Thailand: Indigenous Voices of Asia.
- Nelson A, and Chomitz KM. 2011. Effectiveness of Strict vs. Multiple use protected areas in reducing tropical forest fires: A global analysis using matching methods. *PLoS ONE*, 6(8): e22722.
- Nepstad D. 2018, 8 March 2018. Tropical deforestation: The need for a strategy adjustment. Mongabay. Menlo Park, CA: Mongabay. [accessed 25 November 2018]. <https://news.mongabay.com/2018/03/tropical-deforestation-the-need-for-a-strategy-adjustment-commentary>
- Nepstad D, Irawan S, Bezerra T, Boyd W, Stickler C, Shimada J, Carvalho O, MacIntyre K, Dohong A, Alencar A, Azevedo A, Tepper D, and Lowery S. 2013a. More food, more forests, fewer emissions, better livelihoods: Linking REDD+, sustainable supply chains and domestic policy in Brazil, Indonesia and Colombia. *Carbon Management*, 4(6): 639-658.
- Nepstad D, Boyd W, Stickler CM, Bezerra T, and Azevedo AA. 2013b. Responding to climate change and the global land crisis: REDD+, market transformation and low-emissions rural development. *Phil Trans R Soc B*, 368(1619): 20120167.
- Nepstad D, McGrath D, Stickler C, Alencar A, Azevedo A, Swette B, Bezerra T, DiGiano M, Shimada J, Seroa da Motta R, Armijo E, Castello L, Brando P, Hansen MC, McGrath-Horn M, Carvalho O, and Hess L. 2014. Slowing Amazon deforestation through public policy and interventions in beef and soy supply chains. *Science*, 344(6188): 1118-1123.
- Nepstad D, and Shimada J. 2018. *Soy in the Brazilian Amazon and the case of the Brazilian Soy Moratorium*. Leveraging Agricultural Value Chains to Enhance Tropical Tree Cover and Slow Deforestation (LEAVES). Background paper. Washington, DC: Profor and World Bank.
- Nepstad D, Stickler C, Carvalho O, Leal M, Shimada J, David O, and Ribeiro A. 2018. Mato Grosso, Brazil. In Stickler CM, Duchelle AE, Ardila JP, Nepstad DC, David OR, Chan C, Rojas JG, Vargas R, Bezerra TP, Pritchard L, Simmonds J, Durbin JC, Simonet G, Peteru S, Komalasari M, DiGiano ML, and Warren MW, eds. *The State of Jurisdictional Sustainability*. San Francisco, CA; Bogor, Indonesia; Boulder, CO: Earth Innovation Institute (EII); Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR); Governors' Climate and Forests Task Force (GCF TF).
- Nepstad D, Watts J, Arif J, Irawan S, and Shimada J. 2017. Corporate deforestation pledges: Five risks and seven opportunities. In Pasiecznik N, and Savenije H, eds. *Zero deforestation: A commitment to change*. p. 199-205. Wageningen, the Netherlands: Tropenbos International.
- Newton P and Benzeev R. 2018. The role of zero-deforestation commitments in protecting and enhancing rural livelihoods. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 32: 126-133.

- Ngoma H. 2018. Does minimum tillage improve the livelihood outcomes of smallholder farmers in Zambia? *Food Security*, 10(2): 381–396.
- Ngoma H and Angelsen A. 2018. Can conservation agriculture save tropical forests? The case of minimum tillage in Zambia. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 97: 152–162.
- Ngoma H, Mulenga BP, and Jayne TS. 2016. Minimum tillage uptake and uptake intensity by smallholder farmers in Zambia. *African Journal of Agricultural and Resource Economics*, 11(4).
- Nkonya E, Anderson W, Kato E, Koo J, Mirzabaev A, von Braun J, and Meyer S. 2016. Global Cost of Land Degradation. In Nkonya E, Mirzabaev A, and von Braun J, eds. *Economics of Land Degradation and Improvement - A Global Assessment for Sustainable Development*. p. 117–165. Berlin: Springer International Publishing.
- Nolte C, Agrawal A, Silvius KM, and Soares-Filho BS. 2013. Governance regime and location influence avoided deforestation success of protected areas in the Brazilian Amazon. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(13): 4956–4961.
- Nolte C, le Polain de Waroux Y, Munger J, Reis TNP, and Lambin EF. 2017. Conditions influencing the adoption of effective anti-deforestation policies in South America's commodity frontiers. *Global Environmental Change*, 43: 1–14.
- Norman M and Nakhooda S. 2014. *The State of REDD+ Finance*. Washington DC: Center for Global Development.
- Notess L, Veit PG, Monterroso I, Mancayo A, Sulle E, Larson AM, Gindroz A-S, Quaedvlieg J, and Williams A. 2018. *The Scramble for Land Rights: Inequity in community and company procedures to acquire formal land rights*. Washington DC: World Resources Institute (WRI).
- Oberthür S, and Gehring T. 2011. Institutional interaction: Ten years of scholarly development. In Oberthür S, and Stokke OS, eds. *Managing institutional complexity: Regime interplay and global environmental change*. p. 25–58. Cambridge, MA; London, UK: MIT Press.
- Oberthür S and Stokke OS. 2011. Decentralized interplay management in an evolving interinstitutional order. In Oberthür S, and Stokke OS, eds. *Managing Institutional Complexity: Regime Interplay and Global Environmental Change*. p. 313–341. Cambridge, MA; London, UK: MIT Press.
- Ochieng RM, Visseren-Hamakers IJ, Arts B, Brockhaus M, and Herold M. 2016. Institutional effectiveness of REDD+ MRV: Countries progress in implementing technical guidelines and good governance requirements. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 61: 42–52.
- OECD (Organisation for Economic Co-operation and Development). 2011. *Towards green growth: Monitoring progress*. Paris, France: OECD.

- Oldekop JA, Holmes G, Harris WE, and Evans KL. 2016. A global assessment of the social and conservation outcomes of protected areas. *Conservation Biology*, 30(1): 133-141.
- Olesen A, Böttcher H, Siemons A, Herrmann L, Martius C, Roman-Cuesta RM, Atmadja S, Hansen DS, Andersen SP, Georgiev I, Bager SL, Schwöppe C, and Wunder S. 2018. *Study on EU Financing of REDD+ Related Activities, and Results-Based Payments Pre and Post 2020: Sources, Cost-Effectiveness and Fair Allocation of Incentives*. Luxembourg: European Commission, DG Environment/Climate Action.
- Olsson P, Folke C, and Berkes F. 2004. Adaptive comanagement for building resilience in social-ecological systems. *Environmental Management*, 34(1): 75-90.
- Ordway, EM, Asner GP, and Lambin EF. 2017. Deforestation risk due to commodity crop expansion in sub-Saharan Africa. *Environmental Research Letters*, 12(4): 044015.
- Ostrom E. 1990. *Governing the commons: The evolution of institutions for collective action*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Ostrom E. 2009. A general framework for analyzing sustainability of social-ecological systems. *Science*, 325(5939): 419-422.
- Pacheco P, Gnych S, Dermawan A, Komarudin H, and Okarda B. 2017. *The palm oil global value chain: Implications for economic growth and social and environmental sustainability*. Working Paper No. 220. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Pacheco P, Schoneveld G, Dermawan A, Komarudin H, and Djama M. 2018. Governing sustainable palm oil supply: Disconnects, complementarities, and antagonisms between state regulations and private standards. *Regulation and Governance*. doi: 10.1111/rego.12220
- Pagdee A, Kim Y-S, and Daugherty PJ. 2006. What makes community forest management successful: A meta-study from community forests throughout the world. *Society and Natural Resources*, 19(1): 33-52.
- Pagiola S, Arcenas A, and Platais G. 2005. Can payments for environmental services help reduce poverty? An exploration of the issues and the evidence to date from Latin America. *World Development*, 33(2): 237-253.
- Pandey SS, Cockfield G, and Maraseni TN. 2016. Assessing the roles of community forestry in climate change mitigation and adaptation: A case study from Nepal. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 360: 400-407.
- Panfil SN and Harvey CA. 2016. REDD+ and biodiversity conservation: A review of the biodiversity goals, monitoring methods, and impacts of 80 REDD+ projects. *Conservation Letters*, 9(2): 143-150.
- Panhuisen S and Pierrot J. 2018. *Coffee Barometer*. The Hague, The Netherlands: HIVOS and partners.

- Pauw W, Cassanmagnano D, Mbeva K, Hein J, Guarin A, Brandi C, Dzebo A, Canales N, Adams K, Atteridge A, Bock T, Helms J, Zalewski A, Frommé E, Lindener A, and Muhammad D. 2016. *NDC Explorer*. German Development Institute/ Deutsches Institut für Entwicklungspolitik (DIE). African Centre for Technology Studies (ACTS), Stockholm Environment Institute (SEI).
- Pedroni L, Dutschke M, Streck C, and Porrúa ME. 2009. Creating incentives for avoiding further deforestation: The nested approach. *Climate Policy*, 9(2): 207-220.
- Peluso NL. 1992. *Rich forests, poor people - Resource control and resistance in Java*. Los Angeles, USA: University of California Press.
- Permanent Secretariat of SELA (Latin American and Caribbean Economic System). 2012. *The vision of the green economy In Latin America and the Caribbean. SP/ Di N° 1-12*. Caracas, Venezuela: SELA.
- Perotti E and Bortolotti B. 2005. *From Government to Regulatory Governance: Privatization and the Residual Role of the State*. Milan, Italy: Fondazione Eni Enrico Mattei.
- Perrin B. 2013. *Evaluation of payment by results: Current approaches, future needs*. Working paper No. 39. London, UK: Department for International Development (DFID).
- Peskett L and Brodnig G. 2011. *Carbon rights in REDD+: Exploring the implications for poor and vulnerable people*. Washington DC: World Bank and REDD-net.
- Peters G. n.d. The carbon budget for dummies. Explained by Glen Peters. Oslo: Center for International Climate Research (CICERO). [accessed 23 November 2018]. <https://www.cicero.oslo.no/en/carbonbudget-for-dummies>
- Petersen K and Varela J. 2015. *INDC Analysis: An overview of the forest sector*. Washington, DC: World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF).
- Petersen R, Davis C, Herold M, and De Sy V. 2018. *Tropical forest monitoring: Exploring the gaps between what is required and what is possible for REDD+ and other initiatives*. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute (WRI).
- Pfaff A and Robalino J. 2017. Spillovers from conservation programs. *Annual Review of Resource Economics*, 9(1): 299-315.
- Pfaff A, Robalino J, Herrera D, and Sandoval C. 2015. Protected areas' impacts on Brazilian Amazon deforestation: Examining conservation - Development interactions to inform planning. *PLoS ONE*, 10(7): e0129460.
- Phalan B, Green RE, Dicks LV, Dotta G, Feniuk C, Lamb A, Strassburg BB, Williams DR, Zu Ermgassen EK, and Balmford A. 2016. How can higher-yield farming help to spare nature? *Science*, 351(6272): 450-451.
- Pham TT, Mai H, Moeliono M, and Brockhaus M. 2016. Women's participation in REDD+ national decision-making in Vietnam. *International Forestry Review*, 18(3): 334-334.

- Pham TT, Di Gregorio, M.; Brockhaus, M. 2017a. REDD+ politics in the media: A case study from Vietnam. *International Forestry Review* 19(Supplement 1): 69–80.
- Pham TT, Moeliono M, Brockhaus M, Le N, and Katila P. 2017b. REDD+ and green growth: Synergies or discord in Vietnam and Indonesia. *International Forestry Review*, 19(1): 56–68.
- Pham TT, Castella J-C, Lestrelin G, Mertz O, Le ND, Moeliono M, Nguyen QT, Vu TH, and Nguyen DT. 2015. Adapting Free, Prior, and Informed Consent (FPIC) to local contexts in REDD+: Lessons from three experiments in Vietnam. *Forests*, 6(7): 2405–2423.
- Pham TT, Moeliono M, Wong GY, Brockhaus M, and Dung LN. 2018. The politics of swidden: A case study from Nghe An and Son La in Vietnam. *Land Use Policy*. doi: 10.1016/j.landusepol.2017.10.057
- Pirard R, Buren G de, and Lapeyre R. 2014. Do PES Improve the governance of forest restoration? *Forests*, 5(3): 404–424.
- Pirard R, Rivoalen C, Lawry S, Pacheco P, and Zrust M. 2017. *A policy network analysis of the palm oil sector in Indonesia: What sustainability to expect?* Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Platteau J-P. 2000. Allocating and enforcing property rights in land: Informal versus formal mechanisms in Subsaharan Africa. *Nordic Journal of Political Economy*, 26: 55–81.
- Poffenberger M. 2015. Restoring and conserving Khasi forests: A community-based REDD strategy from northeast India. *Forests*, 6(12): 4477–4494.
- Polack E. 2008. A right to adaptation: Securing the participation of marginalised groups. *IDS Bulletin*, 39(4): 16–23.
- Potapov P, Laestadius L, and Minnemeyer S. 2011. Global map of forest cover and condition. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute (WRI). [accessed 26 July 2018]. www.wri.org/forest-restoration-atlas.
- Poudyal M, Jones JPG, Rakotonarivo OS, Hockley N, Gibbons JM, Mandimbiniaina R, Rasoamanana A, Andrianantenaina NS, and Ramamonjisoa BS. 2018. Who bears the cost of forest conservation? *PeerJ*, 6: e5106.
- Poudyal M, Ramamonjisoa BS, Hockley N, Rakotonarivo OS, Gibbons JM, Mandimbiniaina R, Rasoamanana A, and Jones JP. 2016. Can REDD+ social safeguards reach the 'right' people? Lessons from Madagascar. *Global Environmental Change*, 37: 31–42.
- Pratihast AK, DeVries B, Avitabile V, de Bruin S, Herold M, and Bergsma A. 2016. Design and implementation of an interactive web-based near real-time forest monitoring system. *PloS one*, 11(3): e0150935.
- Pretty J, Toulmin C, and Williams S. 2011. Sustainable intensification in African agriculture. *International journal of agricultural sustainability*, 9(1): 5–24.

- Puri J, Nath M, Bhatia R, and Glew L. 2016. *Examining the evidence base for forest conservation interventions*. Evidence Gap Map Report No. 4. New Delhi, India: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie).
- Pynegar EL, Gibbons JM, Asquith NM, and Jones JP. 2018. What role should randomised control trials play in providing the evidence base underpinning conservation? *PeerJ Preprints*, 6:e26929v1.
- Rakatama A, Pandit R, Ma C, and Iftekhar S. 2017. The costs and benefits of REDD+: A review of the literature. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 75: 103–111.
- Ravallion M. 2018. *Should the Randomistas (Continue to) Rule?* Working Paper No. 492. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development.
- Ravikumar A, Larson AM, Duchelle AE, Myers R, and Gonzales Tovar J. 2015. Multilevel governance challenges in transitioning towards a national approach for REDD+: Evidence from 23 subnational REDD+ initiatives. *International Journal of the Commons*, 9(2): 909–931.
- Ravikumar A, Larson AM, Myers R, and Trench T. 2018. Inter-sectoral and multilevel coordination alone do not reduce deforestation and advance environmental justice: Why bold contestation works when collaboration fails. *Environment and Planning C: Politics and Space*. doi: 10.1177/2399654418794025
- Redford KH, Padoch C, and Sunderland T. 2013. Fads, funding, and forgetting in three decades of conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 27(3): 437–438.
- Resosudarmo IAP, Komalasari M, Atmadja S, Duchelle AE, Awono A, Pratama CD, Sills E, and Sunderlin W. unpublished. *Have REDD+ initiatives changed local land use behavior? Household perspectives from Africa, Asia, and Latin America*.
- Ribot JC, Agrawal A, and Larson AM. 2006. Recentralizing while decentralizing: How national governments reappropriate forest resources. *World Development*, 34(11): 1864–1886.
- Riksrevisjonen. 2018. *Riksrevisjonens undersøkelse av Norges internasjonale klima- og skogsatsing [Investigation of Norway's international climate and forest initiative]*, Dokument 3:10 (2017–2018). Oslo, Norway: Riksrevisjonen (Office of the Auditor General of Norway).
- Robalino J and Pfaff A. 2013. Ecopayments and deforestation in Costa Rica: A nationwide analysis of PSA's initial years. *Land Economics*, 89: 432–448.
- Robalino J, Sandoval C, Barton DN, Chacon A, and Pfaff A. 2015. Evaluating interactions of forest conservation policies on avoided deforestation. *PLoS ONE*, 10(4): e0124910.
- Robinson BE, Holland MB, and Naughton-Treves L. 2014. Does secure land tenure save forests? A meta-analysis of the relationship between land tenure and tropical deforestation. *Global Environmental Change*, 29: 281–293.
- Robinson BE, Masuda YJ, Kelly A, Holland MB, Bedford C, Childress M, Fletschner D, Game ET, Ginsburg C, Hilhorst T, Lawry S, Miteva DA, Musengezi J, Naughton-Treves L, Nolte C, Sunderlin WD, and Veit P. 2018. Incorporating land tenure security into conservation. *Conservation Letters*, 11(2): e12383.

- Rodriguez-Ward D, Larson AM, and Gordillo Ruesta H. 2018. Top-down, bottom-up and sideways: The multilayered complexities of multi-level actors shaping forest governance and REDD+ arrangements in Madre de Dios, Peru. *Environmental management*, 61(1): 1-19.
- Rodrik D. 2010. Diagnostics before prescription. *Journal of Economic Perspectives*, 24(3): 33-44.
- Romijn E, Lantican CB, Herold M, Lindquist E, Ochieng R, Wijaya A, Murdiyarto D, and Verchot L. 2015. Assessing change in national forest monitoring capacities of 99 tropical countries. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 352: 109-123.
- Rosa da Conceição H, Börner J, and Wunder S. 2015. Why were upscaled incentive programs for forest conservation adopted? Comparing policy choices in Brazil, Ecuador, and Peru. *Ecosystem Services*, 16: 243-252.
- Rosenzweig C, Elliott J, Deryng D, Ruane AC, Müller C, Arneth A, Boote KJ, Folberth C, Glotter M, and Khabarov N. 2014. Assessing agricultural risks of climate change in the 21st century in a global gridded crop model intercomparison. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 111(9): 3268-3273.
- Rothe A and Munro-Faure P. 2013. *Tenure and REDD+: Developing enabling tenure conditions for REDD+*. UN-REDD Policy Brief. Geneva, Switzerland.
- Roy RCK. 2000. *Land rights of the indigenous peoples of the Chittagong Hill Tracts, Bangladesh*. Copenhagen, Denmark: International Work Group for Indigenous Affairs (IWGIA)
- RRI (Rights and Resources Initiative). 2014. *Recognizing indigenous and community rights: Priority steps to advance development and mitigate climate change*. Washington, DC: RRI.
- RRI (Rights and Resources Initiative). 2016. *Indigenous peoples and local community tenure in the INDCs: Status and recommendations*. Washington, DC: RRI.
- RRI (Rights and Resources Initiative). 2018a. *Uncertainty and opportunity: The status of forest carbon rights and governance frameworks in over half of the world's tropical forests*. Washington, DC: RRI.
- RRI (Rights and Resources Initiative). 2018b. *A global baseline of carbon storage in collective lands: Indigenous and local community contributions to climate change mitigation*. Washington, DC: RRI.
- RRI, WHRC and WRI (Rights and Resources Initiative, Woods Hole Research Center and World Resources Institute). 2016. *Toward a global baseline of carbon storage in collective lands: An updated analysis of indigenous peoples' and local communities' contributions to climate change mitigation*. Washington, DC: Rights and Resources Initiative (RRI); Woods Hole Research Center (WHRC); World Resources Institute (WRI).
- RSPO (Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil). 2016. Impacts. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: RSPO. [accessed 30 November 2016]. <http://www.rspo.org/about/impacts>

- RSPO (Roundtable on Sustainable Palm Oil). 2017. Impact Update 2017. Kuala Lumpur, Malaysia: RSPO. [accessed 1 August 2018]. <http://www.rspo.org/about/impacts>
- Rudel TK. 2009. Can a reduced emissions agricultural policy (REAP) help make REDD work? In Angelsen A, ed. *Realizing REDD: National strategy and policy options*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Rudel TK, Coomes OT, Moran E, Achard F, Angelsen A, Xu J, and Lambin E. 2005. Forest transitions: Towards a global understanding of land use change. *Global Environmental Change*, 15: 23–31.
- Rudel TK, Defries R, Asner GP, and Laurance WF. 2009a. Changing drivers of deforestation and new opportunities for conservation. *Conservation Biology*, 23(6): 1396–1405.
- Rudel TK, Schneider L, Uriarte M, Turner BL, DeFries R, Lawrence D, Geoghegan J, Hecht S, Ickowitz A, and Lambin EF. 2009b. Agricultural intensification and changes in cultivated areas, 1970–2005. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences*, 106(49): 20675–20680.
- Ruf F. 2001. Tree-crops and inputs as deforestation and reforestation agents. The case of cocoa in Côte d'Ivoire and Sulawesi. In Angelsen A, and Kaimowitz D, eds. *Agricultural Technologies and Tropical Deforestation*. p. 291–316. Wallingford, UK: CAB International.
- Saeed A-R, McDermott C, and Boyd E. 2017. Are REDD+ community forest projects following the principles for collective action, as proposed by Ostrom? *International Journal of the Commons*, 11(1): 572–596.
- Salvini G, Herold M, De Sy V, Kissinger G, Brockhaus M, and Skutsch M. 2014. How countries link REDD+ interventions to drivers in their readiness plans: Implications for monitoring systems. *Environmental Research Letters*, 9(7): 074004.
- Salvini G, Ligtenberg A, van Paassen A, Bregt AK, Avitabile V, and Herold M. 2016. REDD+ and climate smart agriculture in landscapes: A case study in Vietnam using companion modeling. *Journal of Environmental Management*, 172: 58–70.
- Salzman J, Bennett G, Carroll N, Goldstein A, and Jenkins M. 2018. The global status and trends of Payments for Ecosystem Services. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(3): 136–144.
- Samadhi N. 2013. *Indonesia ONE MAP: Assuring better delivery of national development goals*. Presentation at Geospatial World Forum 2013, Rotterdam, May 12–13, 2013.
- Samii C, Lisiecki M, Kulkarni P, Paler L, and Chavis L. 2014. *Effects of decentralized forest management (DFM) on deforestation and poverty in low- and middle-income countries*. London, UK: International Initiative for Impact Evaluation (3ie).
- Sanders AJ, da Silva Hyldmo H, Ford RM, Larson AM, and Keenan RJ. 2017. Guinea pig or pioneer: Translating global environmental objectives through to local actions in Central Kalimantan, Indonesia's REDD+ pilot province. *Global Environmental Change*, 42: 68–81.

- Santoro M, Beaudoin A, Beer C, Cartus O, Fransson JES, Hall RJ, Pathe C, Schmillius C, Schepaschenko D, Shvidenko A, Thurner M, and Wegmüller U. 2015. Forest growing stock volume of the northern hemisphere: Spatially explicit estimates for 2010 derived from Envisat ASAR. *Remote Sensing of Environment*, 168: 316-334.
- Sarmiento Barletti J, Hewlett C, Delgado D, and Larson A. unpublished. *What contextual factors affect the achievement of the proposed outcomes of multi-stakeholder forums on land use and land use change at the subnational level? Results from a Realist Synthesis Review of the scholarly literature*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Sarmiento Barletti J, and Larson A. forthcoming. How are land use multi-stakeholder forums affected by their contexts? Perspectives from two regions of the Peruvian Amazon. In Nikolakis W, and Innes J, eds. *The Wicked Problem of Forest Policy*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Sarmiento Barletti JP, and Larson AM. 2017. *Rights abuse allegations in the context of REDD+ readiness and implementation: A preliminary review and proposal for moving forward*. CIFOR Infobrief No. 190. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Satyal P, Corbera E, Dawson N, Dhungana H, and Maskey G. 2018. Representation and participation in formulating Nepal's REDD+ approach. *Climate Policy*. doi: 10.1080/14693062.2018.1473752
- Sayer J, Sunderland T, Ghazoul J, Pfund J-I, Sheil D, and Meijaard E. 2013. Ten principles for a landscape approach to reconciling agriculture, conservation, and other competing land uses. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(21): 8349-8356.
- Scheba A, and Rakotonarivo OS. 2016. Territorialising REDD+: Conflicts over market-based forest conservation in Lindi, Tanzania. *Land Use Policy*, 57: 625-637.
- Scherr SJ, White A, Khare A, Inbar M, and Molar A. 2004. *For Services Rendered: The current status and future potential of markets for the ecosystem services provided by tropical forests*. ITTO Technical Series No. 21. Yokohama, Japan: International Tropical Timber Organization (ITTO).
- Schipper L, and Pelling M. 2006. Disaster risk, climate change and international development: Scope for, and challenges to, integration. *Disasters*, 30(1): 19-38.
- Schletz MC, Konrad S, Staun F, and Desgain DD. 2017. *Taking stock of the (I) NDCs of developing countries: Regional (I) NDC coverage of mitigation sectors and measures*. Nairobi, Kenya: United Nations Environment Programme (UNEP).
- Schmink M, Duchelle A, Hoelle J, Leite F, d'Oliveira MV, Vadjunec J, Valentim J, and Wallace R. 2014. Forest Citizenship in Acre, Brazil. In Katila P, Galloway G, Jong Wd, Pacheco P, and Mery G, eds. *Forests under pressure - local responses to global issues*. International Union of Forest Research Organizations (IUFRO).
- Schwartzman S, Boas AV, Ono KY, Fonseca MG, Doblaz J, Zimmerman B, Junqueira P, Jerozolimski A, Salazar M, and Junqueira RP. 2013. The natural and social

- history of the indigenous lands and protected areas corridor of the Xingu River basin. *Philosophical Transactions of the Royal Society B* 368: 20120164.
- Scott A, McFarland W, and Seth P. 2013. *Research and evidence on green growth*. London, UK: Evidence on demand. 38 pp. [accessed 25 November 2018] https://assets.publishing.service.gov.uk/media/57a08a14ed915d622c000551/EoD_HD064_July2013_GreenGrowth_Final.pdf
- Secco L, Da Re R, Pettenella DM, and Gatto P. 2014. Why and how to measure forest governance at local level: A set of indicators. *Forest Policy and Economics*, 49: 57–71.
- Seymour F. 2018. *Presentation at Oslo Tropical Forest Forum, 27–28 June 2018*, Oslo, Norway. [accessed 25 November 2018]. <https://norad.no/en/front/events/oslo-tropical-forest-forum-2018>
- Seymour F and Angelsen A. 2012. Summary and conclusions: REDD+ without regrets. In Seymour F, Angelsen A, Angelsen A, Brockhaus M, Sunderlin WD, and Verchot LV, eds. *Analysing REDD+: Challenges and choices*. p. 317–334. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Seymour F and Busch J. 2016. *Why forests? Why now? The science, economics, and politics of tropical forests and climate change*. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development.
- Sharma BP, Shyamsundar P, Nepal M, Pattanayak SK, and Karky BS. 2017. Costs, cobenefits, and community responses to REDD+: A case study from Nepal. *Ecology and Society*, 22(2): 34.
- Sharot T. 2011. The optimism bias. *Current Biology*, 21(23): R941–R945.
- Shimada J and Nepstad D. 2018. *Beef in the Brazilian Amazon*. Leveraging Agricultural Value Chains to Enhance Tropical Tree Cover and Slow Deforestation (LEAVES). Background Paper. Washington, DC: Profor and World Bank.
- Shively G and Pagiola S. 2004. Agricultural intensification, local labor markets, and deforestation in the Philippines *Environment and Development Economics* 9(2): 241–266
- Sills EO, Atmadja SS, de Sassi C, Duchelle AE, Kweka DL, Resosudarmo IAP, and Sunderlin WD, eds. 2014. *REDD+ on the ground: A case book of subnational initiatives across the globe*. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Sills EO, de Sassi C, Jagger P, Lawlor K, Miteva DA, Pattanayak SK, and Sunderlin WD. 2017. Building the evidence base for REDD+: Study design and methods for evaluating the impacts of conservation interventions on local well-being. *Global Environmental Change*, 43: 148–160.
- Silva-Chávez G, Schaap B, and Breitfeller J. 2015. *REDD+ Finance Flows 2009–2014: Trends and Lessons Learned in REDDX Countries*. Washington, DC: Forest Trends.

- Simonet G, Karsenty A, de Perthuis C, Newton P, Schaap B, and Seyller C. 2015. *REDD+ projects in 2014: An overview based on a new database and typology*. Information and Debate Series No. 32. Paris, France: Paris-Dauphine University.
- Simonet G, Agrawal A, Bénédet F, Cromberg M, de Perthuis C, Haggard D, Jansen N, Karsenty A, Liang W, Newton P, Sales AM, Schaap B, Seyller C, and Vaillant G. 2018a. ID-RECCO, International Database on REDD+ projects and programs, linking Economic, Carbon and Communities data. Version 3.0. [accessed 23 November 2018]. www.reddprojectsdatabase.org
- Simonet G, Subervie J, Ezzine-de-Blas D, Cromberg M, and Duchelle AE. 2018b. Effectiveness of a REDD + project in reducing deforestation in the Brazilian Amazon. *American Journal of Agricultural Economics*, 101:211-29
- Sims KRE and Alix-Garcia JM. 2017. Parks versus PES: Evaluating direct and incentive-based land conservation in Mexico. *Journal of Environmental Economics and Management*, 86: 8-28.
- Sims KRE, Alix-Garcia JM, Shapiro-Garza E, Fine LR, Radeloff VC, Aronson G, Castillo S, Ramirez-Reyes C, and Yañez-Pagans P. 2014. Improving environmental and social targeting through adaptive management in Mexico's payments for hydrological services program. *Conservation Biology*, 28(5): 1151-1159.
- Skutsch M, Turnhout E, Vijge M, Herold M, Wits T, den Besten J, and Torres A. 2014. Options for a national framework for benefit distribution and their relation to community-based and national REDD+ monitoring. *Forests*, 5(7): 1596.
- Sloan S. 2014. Indonesia's moratorium on new forest licenses: An update. *Land Use Policy*, 38: 37-40.
- Sloan S, Edwards DP, and Laurance WF. 2012. Does Indonesia's REDD+ moratorium on new concessions spare imminently threatened forests? *Conservation Letters*, 5(3): 222-231.
- Smith P, Bustamante M, Ahammad H, and Van Minnen J. 2014. Agriculture, Forestry and Other Land Use (AFOLU). In Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC), ed. *Climate Change 2014: Mitigation of Climate Change, Contribution of Working Group III to the Fifth Assessment Report of the Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change*. Cambridge, UK: Intergovernmental Panel on Climate Change (IPCC).
- Somanathan E, Prabhakar R, and Mehta BS. 2009. Decentralization for cost-effective conservation. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 106(11): 4143-4147.
- Song X, Hansen M, Stehman S, Potapov P, Tyukavina A, Vermote E, and Townshend J. 2018. Global land change from 1982 to 2016. *Nature*, 560(7720): 639-643.
- Sonwa D, Weise S, Schroth G, Janssens M, and Shapiro H. 2014. Plant diversity management in cocoa agroforestry systems in West and Central Africa: Effects of markets and household needs. *Agroforestry Systems*, 88(8): 1021-1034.
- Sonwa DJ, Weise SF, Nkongmeneck BA, Tchatat M, and Janssens MJJ. 2017a. Structure and composition of cocoa agroforests in the humid forest zone of Southern Cameroon. *Agroforestry Systems*, 91: 451-440.

- Sonwa DJ, Weise SF, Nkongmeneck BA, Tchatat M, and Janssens MJJ. 2017b. Profiling Carbon Storage/Stocks of Cocoa Agroforests in the Forest Landscape of Southern Cameroon. In Dagar J, and Tewari V, eds. *Agroforestry*, 739-752. Singapore: Springer.
- Sparovek G, Berndes G, Barretto AGdOP, and Klug ILF. 2012. The revision of the Brazilian Forest Act: Increased deforestation or a historic step towards balancing agricultural development and nature conservation? *Environmental Science and Policy*, 16: 65-72.
- Špirić J, Corbera E, Reyes-García V, and Porter-Bolland L. 2016. A dominant voice amidst not enough people: Analysing the legitimacy of Mexico's REDD+ Readiness Process. *Forests*, 7(12): 313.
- Steinweg T, Drennen Z, and Rijk G. 2017. *Unsustainable Palm Oil Faces Increasing Market Access Risks: NDPE Sourcing Policies Cover 74 Percent of Southeast Asia's Refining Capacity*. Washington, DC: Chain Reaction Research.
- Stern N. 2007. *Stern Review: The Economics of Climate Change*. Cambridge, UK: Cambridge University Press.
- Stern T, Ranacher L, Mair C, Berghäll S, Lähäinen K, Forsblom M, and Toppinen A. 2018. Perceptions on the importance of forest sector innovations: Biofuels, biomaterials, or niche products? *Forests* 9(5): 255.
- Stevens C, Winterbottom R, Springer J, and Reytar K. 2014. *Securing rights, combating climate change: How strengthening community forest rights mitigates climate change*. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute (WRI).
- Stevenson JR, Villoria N, Byerlee D, Kelley T, and Maredia M. 2013. Green Revolution research saved an estimated 18 to 27 million hectares from being brought into agricultural production. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 110(21): 8363-8368.
- Stickler C, DiGiano M, Nepstad D, Hyvarinen J, Vidal R, Montero J, Alencar A, Mendoza E, Benavides M, Osorio M, Castro E, Mwangi C, Irawan S, Carvalho JO, Becerra M, McGrath D, Chan C, Swette B, Setiawan J, Bezerra T, McGrath-Horn M, and Horowitz J. 2014. *Fostering Low-Emission Rural Development from the Ground Up*. San Francisco CA: Earth Innovation Institute (EII).
- Stickler CM, Duchelle AE, Ardila JP, Nepstad DC, David OR, Chan C, Rojas JG, Vargas R, Bezerra TP, Pritchard L, Simmonds J, Durbin JC, Simonet G, Peteru S, Komalasari M, DiGiano ML, and Warren MW. 2018. *The State of Jurisdictional Sustainability*. San Francisco, CA, USA; Bogor, Indonesia; Boulder, CO, USA: Earth Innovation Institute; Center for International Forestry Research; Governors' Climate and Forests Task Force.
- Strassburg BBN, Kelly A, Balmford A, Davies RG, Gibbs HK, Lovett A, Miles L, Orme CDL, Price J, Turner RK, and Rodrigues ASL. 2010. Global congruence of carbon storage and biodiversity in terrestrial ecosystems. *Conservation Letters*, 3: 98-105.
- Streck C. 2012. Financing REDD+: matching needs and ends. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4(6): 628-637.

- Streck C, Howard A, and Rajão R. 2017. *Options for Enhancing REDD+ Collaboration in the Context of Article 6 of the Paris Agreement*. Washington, DC: Meridian Institute.
- Streck C and Parker C. 2012. Financing REDD+. In Angelsen A, Brockhaus M, Sunderlin WD, and Verchot LV, eds. *Analysing REDD+: Challenges and Choices*. p. 111-128. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Sunderland TCH, Powell B, Ickowitz A, Foli S, Pinedo-Vasquez M, Nasi R, and Padoch C. 2013. *Food security and nutrition: The role of forests*. CIFOR Discussion Paper. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Sunderlin W, Larson AM, and Cronkleton P. 2009. Forest tenure rights and REDD+. In Angelsen A, with Brockhaus M, Kanninen M, Sills E, Sunderlin WD, and Wertz-Kanounnikoff S, eds. *Realising REDD+: National strategy and policy options*. p. 139-124. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Sunderlin WD. 2002. *Ideology, Social Theory, and the Environment*. Lanham, MD: Rowman & Littlefield Publishers.
- Sunderlin WD, de Sassi C, Ekaputri AD, Light M, and Pratama CD. 2017. REDD+ Contribution to well-being and income is marginal: The perspective of local stakeholders. *Forests*, 8(4): 125.
- Sunderlin WD, de Sassi C, Sills EO, Duchelle AE, Larson AM, Resosudarmo IAP, Awono A, Kweka DL, and Huynh TB. 2018. Creating an appropriate tenure foundation for REDD+: The record to date and prospects for the future. *World Development*, 106: 376-392.
- Sunderlin WD, Larson AM, Duchelle AE, Resosudarmo IAP, Huynh TB, Awono A, and Dokken T. 2014a. How are REDD+ proponents addressing tenure problems? Evidence from Brazil, Cameroon, Tanzania, Indonesia, and Vietnam. *World Development*, 55: 37-52.
- Sunderlin WD, Ekaputri AD, Sills EO, Duchelle AE, Kweka D, Diprose R, Doggart N, Ball S, Lima R, and Enright A. 2014b. *The challenge of establishing REDD+ on the ground: Insights from 23 subnational initiatives in six countries*. Occasional Paper No. 104. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Sunderlin WD, Sills EO, Duchelle AE, Ekaputri AD, Kweka D, Toniolo MA, Ball S, Doggart N, Pratama CD, Padilla JT, Enright A, and Otsyina RM. 2015. REDD+ at a critical juncture: Assessing the limits of polycentric governance for achieving climate change mitigation. *International Forestry Review*, 17(4): 400-413.
- Svarstad H and Benjaminsen TA. 2017. Nothing succeeds like success narratives: A case of conservation and development in the time of REDD. *Journal of Eastern African Studies*, 11(3): 482-505.
- Tacconi L, Downs F, and Larmour P. 2009. Anti-corruption policies in the forest sector and REDD+. In Angelsen A, ed. *Realising REDD+: National strategy and*

- policy options*. p. 163–174. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Taylor R and Streck C. 2018. *The elusive impact of the deforestation-free supply chain movement*. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute, Climate Focus.
- TEEB (The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity). 2010. *The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity: Mainstreaming the Economics of Nature: A synthesis of the approach, conclusions and recommendations of TEEB*. Geneva: The Economics of Ecosystems and Biodiversity (TEEB). [accessed 25 November 2018]. <http://www.teebweb.org/publication/mainstreaming-the-economics-of-nature-a-synthesis-of-the-approach-conclusions-and-recommendations-of-teeb/>
- Tennigkeit T, Held C, Carodenuto S, and Merger E. 2013. *Financing REDD+ through private forestry sector: How to attract REDD+ related private investments*. Freiburg, Germany: UNIQUE forestry and land use GbmH.
- The Guardian. 2017, 6 September 2017. Six farmers shot dead over land rights battle in Peru. The Guardian.
- Tiani AM, Bele MY, and Sonwa DJ. 2015. What are we talking about?: The state of perceptions and knowledge on REDD+ and adaptation to climate change in Central Africa. *Climate and Development*, 7(4): 310–321.
- Todd PE. 2007. Evaluating social programs with endogenous program placement and selection of the treated. *Handbook of Development Economics*, 4: 3847–3894.
- Torres AB and Skutsch M. 2015. Special issue: The potential role for community monitoring in MRV and in benefit sharing in REDD+. *Forests*, 6: 244–251.
- Trase (Transparent supply chains for sustainable economies). 2018. *Trase Yearbook 2018: Sustainability in forest-risk supply chains: Spotlight on Brazilian soy*. Stockholm, Sweden: Stockholm Environment Institute, Global Canopy Programme.
- Trench T, Larson A, Amico A, and Ashwin R. 2017. *Analyzing multilevel governance in Mexico: Lessons for REDD+ from a study of land-use change and benefit sharing in Chiapas and Yucatán*. CIFOR Working Paper No. 236. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Turnhout E, Gupta A, Weatherley-Singh J, Vijge MJ, de Koning J, Visseren-Hamakers IJ, Herold M, and Lederer M. 2017. Envisioning REDD+ in a post-Paris era: Between evolving expectations and current practice. *Wiley Interdisciplinary Reviews: Climate Change*, 8(1): 1–13.
- Turbanova S, Potapov PV, Tyukavina A, and Hansen MC. 2018. Ongoing primary forest loss in Brazil, Democratic Republic of the Congo, and Indonesia. *Environmental Research Letters*, 13(7): 074028.
- UK-DECC (UK Department of Energy and Climate Change). 2014. An International Climate Fund business case for DECC investment in the BioCarbon Fund and

- the Forest Carbon Partnership Facility – Carbon Fund. London: UK Department of Energy and Climate Change (UK-DECC).
- UN OHCHR (United Nations Office of the United Nations High Commissioner for Human Rights). n.d. *Women human rights defenders*. OHCHR: <https://www.ohchr.org/en/issues/women/wrgs/pages/hrdefenders.aspx>
- UNDESA (United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs). 2017. *World Population Prospects: The 2017 Revision, Key Findings and Advance Tables*. Working Paper. Geneva: United Nations Department of Economic and Social Affairs (UNDESA).
- UNECA (United Nations Economic Commission for Africa). 2012. *A green economy in the context of sustainable development and poverty eradication: What are the implications for Africa?* Addis Ababa, Ethiopia: UNECA.
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). 2011. *Towards a green economy: Pathways to sustainable development and poverty eradication*. Nairobi, Kenya: UNEP.
- UNEP (United Nations Environment Programme). 2017. *The Emissions Gap Report 2017: A UNEP Synthesis Report*. Nairobi, Kenya: UNEP.
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change). 1992. *United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change*. FCCC/INFORMAL/84. Bonn, Germany: UNFCCC.
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change). 2007. *Report of the Conference of the Parties on its thirteenth session, held in Bali from 3 to 15 December 2007*. FCCC/CP/2007/6/Add.1. Bonn, Germany: UNFCCC.
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change). 2009. *Methodological guidance for activities relating to reducing emissions from deforestation and forest degradation and the role of conservation, sustainable management of forests and enhancement of forest carbon stocks in developing countries*. FCCC/SBSTA/2015/L.5. Bonn, Germany: UNFCCC.
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change). 2011. *The Cancun Agreements: Outcome of the work of the Ad Hoc Working Group on Long-term Cooperation Under the Convention. Decision 1/CP.16. Report of the Conference of the Parties on its Sixteenth Session, Cancun, 29 November-10 December 2010*. FCC/CP/2010/7. Bonn, Germany: UNFCCC.
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change). 2013. *Report of the Conference of the Parties on its nineteenth session, held in Warsaw from 11 to 23 November 2013. Guidelines and procedures for the technical assessment of submissions by Parties on proposed forest reference emission levels and/or forest reference levels*. FCCC/CP/2013/10/Add.1. Bonn, Germany: UNFCCC.
- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change). 2015. *Paris Agreement*. FCCC/CP/2015/L.9/Rev.1. Bonn, Germany: UNFCCC.

- UNFCCC (United Nations Framework Convention for Climate Change). 2018. Forest reference emission levels. REDD+ web platform. Bonn, Germany: UNFCCC. [accessed 22 November 2018]. <https://redd.unfccc.int/fact-sheets/forest-reference-emission-levels.html>
- UN-REDD. 2015. *UN-REDD Programme Strategic Framework 2016-20. The United Nations Collaborative Programme on Reducing Emissions from Deforestation and Forest Degradation in Developing Countries*. Geneva, Switzerland: UN-REDD.
- UN-REDD. 2017. *Ninth Consolidated Annual Progress Report of the UN-REDD Programme Fund*. Geneva, Switzerland: UN-REDD.
- UN-REDD+ Programme. 2018. *Ninth Consolidated Annual Progress Report of the UN-REDD Programme Fund*. Geneva, Switzerland: UN-REDD.
- van der Ven H, Rothacker C, and Cashore B. 2018. Do eco-labels prevent deforestation? Lessons from non-state market driven governance in the soy, palm oil, and cocoa sectors. *Global Environmental Change*, 52: 141-151.
- Vatn A and Vedeld PO. 2013. National governance structures for REDD+. *Global Environmental Change*, 23(2): 422-432.
- Vergara-Asenjo G, and Potvin C. 2014. Forest protection and tenure status: The key role of indigenous peoples and protected areas in Panama. *Global Environmental Change*, 28: 205-215.
- Vidal E, West TAP, and Putz FE. 2016. Recovery of biomass and merchantable timber volumes twenty years after conventional and reduced-impact logging in Amazonian Brazil. *Forest Ecology and Management*, 376: 1-8.
- Villoria NB, Byerlee D, and Stevenson J. 2014. The effects of agricultural technological progress on deforestation: What do we really know? *Applied Economic Perspectives and Policy*, 36(2): 211-237.
- Visseren-Hamakers IJ, Gupta A, Herold M, Peña-Claros M, and Vijge MJ. 2012. Will REDD+ work? The need for interdisciplinary research to address key challenges. *Current Opinion in Environmental Sustainability*, 4(6): 590-596.
- Vladu F. 2017. *Links to the UNFCCC: NDCs and the global stocktake*. Presentation at the IPCC expert meeting on mitigation, sustainability and climate stabilization scenarios, in Addis Ababa, Ethiopia, 26 April, 2017.
- Vogel I. 2012. *Review of the use of 'Theory of Change' in international development*. London, UK: UK Department of International Development (UK DFID).
- Voigt C and Ferreira F. 2015. The Warsaw Framework for REDD+: Implications for national implementation and access to results-based finance. *Carbon and Climate Law Review*, 9(2): 113-129.
- Watson C, Brickell E, McFarland W, and McNeely J. 2013. *Integrating REDD+ into a green economy transition: Opportunities and challenges*. London, UK: Overseas Development Institute (ODI).

- Weatherley-Singh J, and Gupta A. 2015. Drivers of deforestation and REDD+ benefit-sharing: A meta-analysis of the (missing) link. *Environmental Science and Policy*, 54: 97-105.
- Weber A-K and Partzsch L. 2018. Barking up the right tree? NGOs and corporate power for deforestation-free supply chains. *Sustainability*, 10(11): 3869.
- Weiss CH. 1972. *Evaluation Research: Methods of Assessing Program Effectiveness*. Englewood Cliffs, NJ: Prentice-Hall.
- Weiss CH. 1995. Nothing as Practical as Good Theory: Exploring Theory-based Evaluation for Comprehensive Community Initiatives for Children and Families. In Connell JP, Kubisch AC, Schorr LB and Weiss CH, eds., ed. *New Approaches to Evaluating Community Initiatives: Concepts, Methods, and Contexts*. p. 65-92. Washington, DC: Aspen Institute.
- Weiss CH. 1997. How can theory-based evaluation make greater headway? *Evaluation Review*, 21(4): 501-524.
- Weiss EB. 1993. International Environmental Law: Contemporary Issues and the Emergence of a New World Order. *Georgetown Law Journal*, 81: 675.
- Wentworth L and Oji C. 2013. *The Green Economy and the BRICS Countries: Bringing Them Together*. Economic Diplomacy Programme. Occasional paper No. 170. Johannesburg, South Africa: the South African Institute of International Affairs (SAIIA).
- West TAP. 2016. Indigenous community benefits from a de-centralized approach to REDD+ in Brazil. *Climate Policy*, 16(7): 924-939.
- Wieland Fernandini P, and Sousa RF. 2015. *The distribution of powers and responsibilities affecting forests, land use, and REDD+ across levels and sectors in Peru: A legal study*. CIFOR Occasional Paper No. 129. Bogor, Indonesia: Center for International Forestry Research (CIFOR).
- Wieland P. 2013. Building carbon rights infrastructure with REDD+ incentives: A multi-scale analysis in the Peruvian Amazon. *The Environmental Law Reporter*, 13: 10269-10287.
- Williams L and de Koning F. 2016. *Putting Accountability Into Practice In REDD+ Programs*. Washington, DC: World Resources Institute (WRI).
- Wollenberg E, Richards M, Smith P, Havlík P, Obersteiner M, Tubiello FN, Herold M, Gerber P, Carter S, Reisinger A, van Vuuren DP, Dickie A, Neufeldt H, Sander BO, Wassmann R, Sommer R, Amonette JE, Falcucci A, Herrero M, Opio C, Roman-Cuesta RM, Stehfest E, Westhoek H, Ortiz-Monasterio I, Sapkota T, Rufino MC, Thornton PK, Verchot L, West PC, Soussana J-F, Baedeker T, Sadler M, Vermeulen S, and Campbell BM. 2016. Reducing emissions from agriculture to meet the 2 °C target. *Global Change Biology*, 22(12): 3859-3864.
- Wolosin M, Breitfeller J, and Schaap B. 2016. *The Geography of REDD+ Finance Deforestation, Emissions, and the Targeting of Forest Conservation Finance*. Washington DC: Forest Trends.

- Wolosin M and Lee D. 2014. *US Support for REDD+: Reflections on the Past and Future Outlook*. Washington, DC: Center for Global Development.
- Wong GY, Loft L, Brockhaus M, Yang AL, Pham TT, Assembe Mvondo S, and Luttrell C. 2017. An assessment framework for benefit sharing mechanisms to reduce emissions from deforestation and forest degradation within a forest policy mix. *Environmental Policy and Governance*, 27(5): 436–452.
- World Bank. 2007. *World Bank Report 2008: Agriculture for Development*. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Bank. 2014. *World development indicators 2014*. World Bank Publications No. 1464801630. Washington, DC: World Bank.
- World Bank. 2016. *Mitigation Content Database*. Intended Nationally Determined Contributions (INDCs). Washington, DC: World Bank. Accessed 25 November 2018. <http://spappssecext.worldbank.org/sites/indc/Pages/mitigation.aspx>
- Wright GD, Andersson KP, Gibson CC, and Evans TP. 2016. Decentralization can help reduce deforestation when user groups engage with local government. *Proceedings of the National Academy of Sciences of the United States of America*, 113(52): 14958–14963.
- Wunder S. 2013. When payments for environmental services will work for conservation. *Conservation Letters*, 6(4): 230–237.
- Wunder S. 2015. Revisiting the concept of payments for environmental services. *Ecological Economics*, 117: 234–243.
- Wunder S. 2018. *PES, REDD+ and impacts on the ground*. King's College Cambridge, UK: Presentation given at the Policy Panel Lessons learned (if any?) from experimental evidence for the development of REDD+, BIOECON conference, 13 September. Cambridge, UK: King's College.
- Wunder S, Brouwer R, Engel S, Ezzine-de-Blas D, Muradian R, Pascual U, and Pinto R. 2018. From principles to practice in paying for nature's services. *Nature Sustainability*, 1(3): 145–150.
- WWF (World Wide Fund for Nature). 2018. Global Business, Government and Agricultural Leaders Announce Land-Focused Commitments to Mitigate Climate Change. Gland, Switzerland: World Wide Fund for Nature (WWF). [accessed 23 November 2018]. <https://www.worldwildlife.org/press-releases/global-business-government-and-agricultural-leaders-announce-land-focused-commitments-to-mitigate-climate-change>
- Zaks DPM, Barford CC, Ramankutty N, and Foley JA. 2009. Producer and consumer responsibility for greenhouse gas emissions from agricultural production – a perspective from the Brazilian Amazon. *Environmental Research Letters*, 4(4): 044010.
- Zelege A, Phung T, Tulyasuwan N, O'Sullivan R, Lawry S, and Gnych S. 2016. *Role of agriculture, forestry and other land use mitigation in INDCs and national policy in Asia*. Washington, DC: LEDS Global Partnership.