Introduction

The world’s population consumes more oil than any other single energy source, including coal, natural gas, nuclear, hydro and renewables (EIA 2005). By 2030, global demand for oil is expected to have increased by 50 per cent (IEA 2008:116). Rising prices, concerns about energy security and acceptance of global warming impacts have sparked worldwide efforts to replace oil rapidly with alternative energy sources (IEA 2008:55). In particular, biofuels – a renewable hydrocarbon energy source derived from biomass – have much potential as a sustained alternative energy supply of liquid fuels (IEA 2008:307). Within the developing world, and especially Africa, biofuels, are also regarded as a potential mechanism to stimulate agricultural development, create jobs and save foreign exchange (von Maltitz and Brent 2008). In reaction to the initial euphoria about biofuels as a carbon-neutral alternative to fossil fuels, numerous popular articles and scientific papers have cautioned against the global drive towards a biofuels economy, highlighting the potential impacts on food security, poor energy efficiencies and potential environmental harm (Gallagher 2008; IEA 2008: 332; Royal Society 2008).

What are biofuels?

Bioethanol and biodiesel are the most commonly produced biofuels, and currently these are derived mainly from food crops such as maize, soya and sugarcane. Biofuels derived from food crops are known as first-generation biofuels. Bioethanol is produced by fermenting sugars and starch, and can be blended with petroleum up to approximately 10 per cent in normal, petrol-driven engines, or used in any blend to 100 per cent in modified engines. Biodiesel is produced through a process of transesterification from oils or fats, and can be used in almost any diesel engine or mixed with conventional petroleum diesel. Pure vegetable oil can also be used in specially modified diesel engines.

New technologies in advanced stages of development will allow alternative feedstocks to be used for production...
bioenergy production (IEA 2008: 334). These new technologies are known as second-generation biofuels. They include lignocellulose digestion, fast pyrolysis, and Fischer-Tropsch (gasification) technologies (E4tech 2008). These technologies suggest that a wide range of fast-growing, non-agricultural crops (or crop residuals), including grass, algae or fast-growing trees, may be viable feedstocks for liquid biofuels in the not-too-distant future. Alternatively, biomass can be directly converted to electricity or heat through combustion or gasification (IEA 2008: 326). Although still under development, these second-generation technologies offer great potential and are being researched in South Africa and elsewhere in Africa. For example, in Namibia where bush encroachment is a major ecological problem, gasification and combustion techniques are being investigated as potential ecological solutions. Technologies for biodiesel production from algae are also under development (Maharajh and Lalloo 2008).

Figure 1. Integrated technology pathways to produce biofuels (Pike et al. 2008)

Zambian small-grower farmer shows off his jatropha field. He hopes to sell the seeds to a commercial biodiesel company (Photo by Kevin Setzkorn)
Fuel use in sub-Saharan Africa

As a continent, Africa has the lowest per capita energy consumption. Biomass in the form of charcoal and fuelwood continues to be the main fuel source for most sub-Saharan countries (see Table 1). Low consumption levels reflect low economic development, and also hinder economic development. Biofuels are a relatively new concept and although bioethanol was used as a petroleum supplement in the past in countries including Malawi, South Africa and Zimbabwe, it is only in the last few years that large-scale biofuel production has been seriously considered.

Types of biofuel projects in sub-Saharan Africa

It is impossible to obtain an accurate figure for the status of biofuel projects across Africa. The situation is very dynamic with new investments being announced monthly, often to simply disappear. A large number of projects are in the planning phase, but few are fully operational. Hagan (2007), for instance, suggests that current projects in 10 West African countries represent an investment of US$126 million, with plans to install processing capacity for 70 million litres of biodiesel and 165 million litres of bioethanol per year. But, as far as can be ascertained, none of these projects are operational. On an Africa-wide scale, the proposed biofuel expansion equates to tens of millions of hectares.

African biofuel projects can be divided into four basic types based on the scale of production and intended use of the biofuels (see Figure 2).

Table 1. Annual energy consumption characteristics of sub-Saharan Africa and selected countries compared to global trends

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Energy use per capita (kgoe)</th>
<th>Total energy from biomass and waste in %</th>
<th>Electricity consumption per capita (kWh)</th>
<th>Liquid fuel consumption per capita*</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>World</td>
<td>1796</td>
<td>9.7</td>
<td>2678</td>
<td>751</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sub-Saharan Africa</td>
<td>681</td>
<td>56.3</td>
<td>542</td>
<td>117</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ghana</td>
<td>397</td>
<td>66.0</td>
<td>266</td>
<td>122</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tanzania</td>
<td>530</td>
<td>92.1</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>45</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Kenya</td>
<td>484</td>
<td>74.6</td>
<td>138</td>
<td>101</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mozambique</td>
<td>497</td>
<td>85.4</td>
<td>450</td>
<td>39</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Source: Compiled from World Bank 2008
*Calculated from 2004 oil consumption and population data at www.eia.doe.gov/emeu/international
In Type 1 and 2 projects, biofuels are produced to meet local needs. These projects are typically small and have been initiated in several African countries including Mali, Ghana, Mozambique, Tanzania and Zambia (see Box A). These projects are often initiated by nongovernmental organisations (NGOs) and supported by national governments or international donors.

**Box A. Biodiesel success in Ghana**
The negative impact of rising fuel prices on rural communities prompted a team from the United States to set up a biodiesel production unit known as Dumpong Biofuels in a small village in Ghana. The project aimed to work alongside the Dumpong Pineapple Growers Cooperative to produce biodiesel for transport, farm equipment operation and electricity generation. On 2 July 2007, the team started building a biodiesel processor from locally accessible materials in a village near Aburi in Ghana. The processor was assembled in only two days and started to produce biodiesel immediately; 550 litres of biodiesel was produced in the first three days. The feedstock is palm kernel oil sourced from neighbouring villages. The palm nuts are crushed and boiled to extract the oil. No further refining is undertaken before the oil is processed to make biodiesel, which is 75 per cent cheaper than the local petroleum diesel that has to be imported. Some of the fuel was used to power a small bottling plant, since clean drinking water was scarce (http://dumpongbiofuels.org/).

**Box B. Mali case study: Integrated energy platforms**
Biofuels for sustainable energy generation at village level are being piloted in various locations in Africa, with the Mali Folkecenter project in Garalo, Mali, probably the most advanced. Three 100 KVA marine gensets have been installed that are capable of running on pure jatropha oil. The jatropha will be grown by local farmers, and financed by selling the electricity. The idea is that in the long term, the village will have sustainable, locally produced electricity. While the jatropha fields mature, diesel is being used to supplement the energy generation. Many NGOs that are critical of large-scale biofuels are strongly supportive of this local model for energy and economic development (www.malifolkecenter.org).

---

#### Figure 2. Typical biofuel projects grouped by scale of farming activity and intended use of the biofuel product

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Scale of the project</th>
<th>Fuel used by producers at the village or farm level</th>
<th>Markets and primary end users</th>
<th>National and international liquid fuel blends</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Small-scale growers on 1-99 ha and outgrowers</td>
<td>Type 1*</td>
<td>National and international liquid fuel blends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Large industrial farms of 100 ha or more</td>
<td>Type 2</td>
<td>Fuel used by producers at the village or farm level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Mali Folkecentre</td>
<td>• Commercial farmers in South Africa and Zambia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Dumppong Biofuels in Ghana</td>
<td>• Mines in Zambia</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Outgrowers linked to commercial plantations</td>
<td>Type 3</td>
<td>National and international liquid fuel blends</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>• Small-scale farmers linked to commercial biofuels fuel-processing plants</td>
<td>Type 4</td>
<td>Fuel used by producers at the village or farm level</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>• Large-scale commercial plantations in Tanzania, Mozambique and Madagascar growing for EU markets</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

* Type 1 projects are described in boxes A and B.

Although mostly based on small growers who provide the feedstock (Type 1), some large-scale farmers and mining companies are also planting biofuel crops to meet their own fuel requirements (Type 2; see Box B). Biofuels are commonly part of a mixed cropping system for both small and large-scale farmers.

In contrast, Type 3 and 4 projects are dedicated biofuel production enterprises established specifically to meet the demands for national and international fuel blends. As such,
An operator stands in front of a bank of 100KW diesel generators designed to run on either diesel or jatropha oil at the Mali Folkecenter in Garalo, Mali. (Photo by Graham von Maltitz)

Farmers benefit from cash income rather than fuel security. Large corporations are the main investors in these types of projects. In Mozambique, Nigeria, Zambia, Tanzania, Ghana and other countries, large jatropha (for biodiesel) and sugarcane (for bioethanol) plantations ranging from thousands to tens of thousands of hectares are currently being planned and planted (Type 4). Similar trends are evident in West Africa with palm oil. Malawi has been producing bioethanol for the past 20 years and currently uses a 10 per cent blend with petrol (see Box D). Industrial sugar production is already practised in most countries with suitable climates, and bioethanol production can build on this as a basis, possibly by just using the molasses, which is regarded as a waste product. In other places, such as in Zambia, companies are attempting to initiate industries based entirely on small-scale farmers (Type 3). However, small-scale farmers are most commonly involved as outgrowers linked to larger industrial plantations (Type 3). In these instances, a proportion of the

**Box C. Zambia case study**

Zambia is a poor country with extensive tracts of underutilised land. Ten per cent of its foreign expenditure is on importing crude oil. From an agronomic perspective Zambia has high potential for growing maize, sugar, sweet sorghum, cassava, palm oil and potentially jatropha. Though sugar and sweet sorghum are undergoing serious investigation and research, it is jatropha that has captured the imagination of the active Zambian Biofuels Association, which is pushing the establishment of the industry. The Government has responded by holding consultative workshops and developing a draft policy. Large international organisations have invested in large-scale jatropha plantations as well as linked outgrower schemes. In addition, companies are using a model based on supporting small-scale farmers who sign long-term production contracts in exchange for assistance in establishing jatropha plantations. Numerous large-scale farmers are considering biofuels as a means of powering their own farms since Zambia’s fossil fuel is among the most expensive in Africa. During the 2008 peak in oil prices, rural Zambians were paying almost US$3/litre, more than twice the price being paid in South Africa at the same time. Mines are also considering biofuels for their vehicle fleets and generators. Although the economics of processing appear to be positive, only time will tell if the production components are cost-effective. This might to a large extent depend on how well the system is integrated into other farming activities such as seedcake being used as fertiliser. The debate on whether processing should be centralised or dispersed remains to be resolved (Haywood et al. 2008; von Maltitz and Setzkorn 2009).

**Box D. Malawi case study: Biofuel production for local commercial and household use**

Malawi’s energy balance is dominated by biomass, which accounts for 97 per cent of total energy supply. Fifty-nine per cent of this biomass is used in its primary form as firewood (52 per cent) and residues (seven per cent); the remaining 41 per cent is converted into charcoal in inefficient traditional earth moulds with thermal efficiencies of only 12-14 per cent. Malawi has two sugar mills, Dwangwa and Nchalo, which are capable of producing a total of 260 000 tonnes of sugar per year from approximately two million tonnes of cane.

Ethanol has been produced since 1982, with the first ethanol plant built next to the Dwangwa sugar mill; a second ethanol plant came on stream in 2004 at Chikwawa, 30 kilometres from Nchalo sugar mill. The combined capacity of the two plants is 18 million litres a year. The ethanol is used for various purposes, including blending with diesel and petrol fuels up to 20 per cent, pharmaceutical and other industrial uses, and potable spirits.

In December 2006, the Government, alarmed by deforestation due to charcoal usage, launched the four-year Promotion of Alternative Energy Sources Project to provide alternatives. These include ethanol gel, Liquefied Petroleum Gas (LPG), improved wood and briquette stoves, and low-cost electrification options (Haywood et al. 2008).
Malawi has been producing ethanol as a fuel blend since 1982 (Photo by Kevin Setzkorn)

A newly planted, large-scale commercial jatropha farm in Mozambique (Photo by Kevin Setzkorn)

A farmer’s landholding is converted to feedstock production. The biofuel industry provides support and inputs, financing, technological assistance and a market. Arguably, biofuels are an attractive farming option on account of the assistance received by the farmers, rather than the intrinsic value of the biofuel crop itself (Haywood et al. 2008).

Since Type 1 and 2 projects are aimed at only meeting local fuel needs, they are not considered to have major negative social or environmental impacts; indeed, their proponents see potential positive impacts. If these projects prove to be non-viable, the repercussions will be minimal. By contrast, the magnitude of Type 3 and 4 projects could result in extensive land transformation and consequential biodiversity loss. In addition, unless well managed, there is a high risk of unintended negative social consequences, such as food insecurity and communities displaced from their land. In Type 3 and 4 projects, there are also concerns that industrial plantations provide either a large number of poorly paid job opportunities if not mechanised, or better-paid but fewer opportunities if mechanised.

Malawi is likely to exceed its local fuel needs from biofuels very rapidly and so most of the biofuel will likely be exported. A few small-grower projects are currently being undertaken, although it is likely that many companies will look to supporting outgrowers (Haywood et al. 2008).

**Box E. Mozambique expansion into biofuels**

A recently commissioned government study in Mozambique confirmed a potential seven million hectares of land could be available for agricultural expansion. This figure excludes land already allocated for agricultural, conservation or community use. It is anticipated that a few million hectares of this land will be allocated to biofuels. A large number of international investors have already acquired land or are in the process of acquiring land. Large-scale commercial plantations are likely to be the main development models. Already a number of large-scale jatropha plantations are being established, and although some of these plantations are planned to be thousands of hectares in size, typically only a few hundred hectares have been planted. Existing sugar plantations are being rejuvenated and new sugar plantations are planned, including irrigated plantations. Much of the sugar will likely go to biofuels. Mozambique is likely to exceed its local fuel needs from biofuel very rapidly and so most of the biofuel will likely be exported. A few small-grower projects are currently being undertaken, although it is likely that many companies will look to supporting outgrowers (Haywood et al. 2008).
Competing feedstocks

Sugarcane, *Jatropha curcas* and palm oil are the three main feedstocks being promoted and grown in Africa, but other feedstocks are also being considered such as sweet sorghum, cassava and cashew apples (Table 2).

Sugarcane is grown in many African countries, and is a well understood and established crop. Sugarcane produces more biofuel per hectare than any other currently used biofuel crop. Areas in Africa have high sugarcane potential: Zambia has reported production of up to 200 tonnes per hectare (t/ha), which is three times the international norm of 65 t/ha. Malawi and Ethiopia already produce bioethanol from sugarcane and many other countries are considering the option. In addition there is the potential for cogenerating electricity from sugarcane bagasse (and presumably sweet sorghum) as an added benefit (Naylor et al. 2007).

---

**Box F. New developments in large-scale biofuel investment**

- ScanFuel director Thor Hesselberg announced in November 2008 that they expect to start commercial operations in Ghana early in 2009, producing 5000 barrels per day of crude oil equivalent by 2015. ScanFuel’s operation, based outside Ghana’s second largest city of Kumasi, will initially see 10 000 hectares planted with the high-oil-yielding jatropha plant with another 10 000 hectares reserved for food production. The Ghanaian unit has contracted about 400 000 hectares, with up to 60 per cent reserved for biofuel production, ‘not less’ than 30 percent for food production and the remainder for biodiversity buffer zones (Wendell Roelf, Guardian.co.uk 21 November 2008).

- A South African company, Bio Max, is to invest at least K80 million in the development of a biofuel processing project from palm oil in Nchelenge, Luapula Province, Zambia, acting Permanent Secretary Clement Siame has disclosed (Times of Zambia 24 November 2008).

- Sweden’s Svensk Etanolkemi AB (Sekab) plans to work 100 000 hectares of land in the province of Cabo Delgado, northern Mozambique, to supply an ethanol factory in Tanzania, the company’s director in Tanzania said in Dar es Salaam. Anders Bergfors said that the company planned to invest US $300 million in construction of a factory in Tanzania to produce ethanol from sugarcane, which would be planted both in Mozambique and Tanzania (Macauhub 18 September 2008).

- Britain’s Sun Biofuels plans to grow about 5500 hectares of the biofuel plant jatropha in Tanzania in the next 10 years, the firm’s local subsidiary said on Thursday (George Obulutsa, Reuters 17 September 2008).

- Daewoo Logistics is taking a 99-year lease on 3.2 million acres of land (in Madagascar), half the size of Belgium, to grow maize and biofuels, building its own roads and other infrastructure to service the new farms that will be created on currently undeveloped open space (Richard Spencer, Telegraph.co.uk 20 November 2008).

The GTZ ProBEC project’s media report on biofuels highlights new projects every two months. (Lerner and Schubert 2008)
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Table 2. Indicative yields and fuel equivalents from common biofuel crops

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Sugar</th>
<th>Sugar</th>
<th>Sweet</th>
<th>Maize</th>
<th>Cassava</th>
<th>Jatropha</th>
<th>Palm oil</th>
<th>Soybean</th>
<th>Canola</th>
<th>Sunflower</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Litres fuel/t</td>
<td>60-80</td>
<td>240</td>
<td>40</td>
<td>366-470</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>227</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>400</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Tonnes/ha¹</td>
<td>13-105</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>60¹</td>
<td>1-5</td>
<td>3-8 (USA)</td>
<td>2-8</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>2.67</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>1-2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Biofuel yield l/ha</td>
<td>780-8400</td>
<td>1080</td>
<td>2400</td>
<td>366-3760</td>
<td>480-1280</td>
<td>700-2800</td>
<td>4736</td>
<td>446</td>
<td>552</td>
<td>400-1000</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrol equivalent/ha</td>
<td>3833</td>
<td>756</td>
<td>1680</td>
<td>2625</td>
<td>1304</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Diesel equivalent/ha</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>690</td>
<td>4451</td>
<td>420</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>High protein animal feed as a byproduct</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>no</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
<td>yes</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

¹ Yields vary with location and management. All yields are based on commercial agricultural values. Where possible, the petrol or diesel equivalent is based on data from best practice situations as given by Naylor et al. 2007.

² These relatively conservative estimates for jatropha are yet to be verified in production systems.

³ With new varieties and where two crops can be grown per year, yields of sweet sorghum may be much higher.

Jatropha has sparked major interest throughout Africa, with projects currently being implemented in many African countries with suitable climates. South Africa does not support growing jatropha as the country fears that the plant may become a noxious weed. Enthusiastic claims for jatropha’s drought hardness and yields are being tempered by the realisation that jatropha is only likely to yield more than one t/ha of oil in areas with more than 800 mm of rain and where plantations are well managed. Despite the large-scale plantings, and high expectations, many uncertainties still surround the long-term viability of jatropha (Jongschaap et al. 2007; Achten et al. 2008).

African palm oil can produce up to 20 t/ha of fruit which gives about 4.5 t/ha of oil. It has been extensively grown for cooking oil, especially in West Africa. Palm oil plants require high rainfall and humidity; this limits plantations to tropical rainforest areas and raises concerns about deforestation (Naylor et al. 2007).

Sweet sorghum, though not currently produced for biofuels, is generating widespread interest as it approaches sugarcane production levels, but in areas of lower rainfall and possibly with less fertilisation. Extensive agronomic trials are being undertaken by the International Crops Research Institute for the Semi-Arid Tropics (ICRISAT), for instance in Zambia and Botswana. A further advantage is that it may be possible to produce both sorghum grain for food and sugar for ethanol concurrently from the same field (Woods 2001).

Maize is the key bioethanol crop in America but although farmers are promoting it as a biofuel crop for South Africa, the Government has discouraged its use because of concerns about food security (Department of Minerals and Energy 2007). Most African maize is a staple food crop and too important as a food to be seriously considered for first-generation biofuel.

Production potential

With the exception of the extensive Sahelian region in North Africa and the Kalahari/ Namib area in southern Africa, the rest of the continent has sufficient rainfall to support biofuel production (Figure 3a). West Africa has the world’s second biggest block of tropical rainforest (see Figure 3b), and there is also a thin belt of rainforest along the east coast. Though rainforest areas would be climatically suited to crops such as sugarcane and palm oil, only already deforested areas should be considered, to avoid a net negative carbon balance that would be unacceptable under international biofuel and biodiversity policy and future climate agreements. Attempts to predict the potential scale of biofuel expansion are hampered by continuing uncertainty over the extent of available deforested and degraded land that is not already currently used for other purposes.
Figure 3a. Mean annual precipitation (GWSP 2008)

Figure 3b. Simplified vegetation of Africa, based on ecoregions in Olsen et al. 2001
The extensive deciduous dry forests (commonly referred to as miombo) receive a mean annual precipitation greater than 650 mm and are likely to be the main area targeted for biofuel expansion. This area is climatically suitable for jatropha, but also potentially for sweet sorghum, cassava and sugarcane. Poor soils have historically limited agricultural expansion in this area, but they can be highly productive with suitable fertilisation (Campbell 1996, Huntley 1982). Yet impacts on carbon stocks and local livelihoods are of equal concern in this environment and must be duly considered.

The arid savanna receives between 400 and 650 mm rainfall and generally has soils that are generally better than in the miombo. This area receives the lower limit of rainfall suitable for intensive cropping, but may still be suitable for drought-hardy crops such as jatropha and sweet sorghum. Crops such as sugarcane have potential where irrigation is possible, and soils are good.

Exceptionally good soils are associated with the slopes of Africa’s ancient volcanic mountains, but these areas are already typically intensively cropped by small-scale farmers for high-value crops. These slopes also have important forests from a biodiversity perspective, and so are likely to induce costs in excess of benefits.

**Land needed to meet fuel security**

On a global scale, biofuel production using first-generation technologies can only realistically replace a small percentage of fossil fuel. For many African countries, the situation is very different and even first-generation biofuels can provide full fuel self-sufficiency from very limited land areas (see Table 3). Land availability varies widely: countries such as Malawi, Rwanda, Burundi and South Africa have limited land, while countries such as Mozambique, Angola and Zambia have extensive land available. One must use caution in interpreting ‘availability,’ however, because much of this land is forested and is subject to customary claims. Reliable statistics on land availability are scant and most land is currently being used in some way. Agricultural productivity per hectare in Africa currently falls way below international norms (see Figure 4). It is therefore possible that agricultural intensification could generate an extensive agricultural surplus that could be diverted into biofuels without affecting local food security. However, caution must be used in ensuring families negatively affected by biofuel expansion are able to benefit in meaningful ways.

Second-generation biofuels would have even greater benefits as they achieve significant ‘well-to-wheel’ reductions in greenhouse gas (GHG) emissions and require dramatically less land when compared with first-generation biofuels. This is because most biomass, including many organic wastes, can be used as feedstock. Additionally, second-generation biofuels perform better as internal combustion (IC) engine fuels, as they do not have any of the technical problems of degradation and material incompatibility associated with first-generation biofuels (DTI 2006).

**Key social considerations**

Globally, the most serious concerns about biofuel expansion focus on the potential impact on global food prices and thereby poverty (Eide 2008; Royal Society 2007). At the global level, the immediate net effect of higher food prices on food security is likely to be negative (FAO 2008). Although sub-Saharan African countries are feeling the pinch from rising food prices, biofuel production at regional and national levels need not diminish regional food security. It has been
Table 3. Rough estimates on land needed to meet five per cent (two per cent for South Africa) biofuel targets and total fuel needs (based on von Maltitz and Brent 2008)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Botswana</th>
<th>Namibia</th>
<th>Tanzania</th>
<th>S. Africa</th>
<th>Mozambique</th>
<th>Zambia</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Diesel use in l/yr × 10^6</td>
<td>281</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>667</td>
<td>7 987</td>
<td>381</td>
<td>327</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Petrol use in l/yr × 10^6</td>
<td>301</td>
<td>325</td>
<td>202</td>
<td>10 289</td>
<td>107</td>
<td>210</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Percent of total land needed to meet transport fuel needs</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>1.2</td>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>0.8</td>
<td>0.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Land needed to meet biofuel targets in ha</td>
<td>26 078</td>
<td>38 917</td>
<td>53 855</td>
<td>307 375</td>
<td>30 631</td>
<td>56 286</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimates of jobs created to meet biofuel targets</td>
<td>12 251</td>
<td>18 608</td>
<td>26 399</td>
<td>142 919</td>
<td>15 036</td>
<td>27 046</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Estimates of jobs created to meet national fuel usage</td>
<td>245 028</td>
<td>372 160</td>
<td>527 980</td>
<td>n/a</td>
<td>300 712</td>
<td>270 458</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

1 All calculations based on sugarcane and jatropha as feedstock, as per Table 2. Values are not linked to specific country or growth conditions and assume suitable land is available.

2 These figures are based on 0.5 jobs per hectare for biodiesel and 0.33 jobs per hectare for sugarcane, as used in Econergy 2008. Most would be low-paying labourer jobs.

Figure 4. Maize Productivity in African.
Maize productivity in these African countries has not tracked with global trends except in South Africa, which has low production potential compared to global productivity. The bars measure production using the scale on the left. The trend lines measure land use using the log scale on the right.
suggested that biofuel production may help stabilise agricultural food production by giving farmers assured markets for their surpluses, stimulating changes in food aid policies and stabilising agricultural production (von Maltitz and Brent 2008; Haywood et al. 2008). A Food and Agricultural Organisation report (2008) states that while higher agricultural prices could revitalise the role of agriculture as an engine of economic growth over the medium- to long-term, urban residents and the large number of net food buyers in rural areas are likely to be negatively affected, with the poorest households the most affected. Other sources provide evidence for localised food insecurity where land has been converted from smallholder agriculture to commercially produced biofuels (ABN 2007). Depending on the global market prices of biofuels, and the feedstock and production model (and therefore the net area of agricultural land diverted from food to fuel), such impacts could play out at the national level through reduced food self-sufficiency at household or national levels.

Dispossession of land or resources is another key potential concern in sub-Saharan Africa. Most available land is communal and governed by customary law. Communal land users do not have secured individual tenure to the land’s resources. Large-scale biofuel plantations may displace current land users. Where land is governed by a chief or traditional authority, economic benefits to the traditional authority or community as a whole may well overrule existing resource use rights enjoyed by individual members. Caution must be used, however, given increasing evidence that local or customary leaders do not always act in the community’s best interest when there are personal benefits to be gained (Brockington 2007; Oyono et al. 2006). Conversion of land for new uses must be based on adequate prior information as well as representative consultation.

Women make up most of Africa’s agricultural workforce as they are responsible primarily for growing food crops in rural areas whereas men are responsible for cash-generating crops such as cotton and tobacco. Even though women play the prominent role in agriculture, there is much inequality. From an African perspective this inequality stems from traditional socio-cultural roles, and results in women being denied equal access to means of production such as land, credit, appropriate technology and extension services. It is anticipated that in small-scale production, the emerging biofuel industry will have the greatest labour impacts on rural women. Converting land used by women predominantly for food crops to growing energy cash crops instead might cause the partial or total displacement of women’s food-growing activities.

Extracting jatropha oil using a mechanical screw press at Mali Folkecenter, Garalo (Photo by Graham von Maltitz)

A small screw press for extracting jatropha oil stands idle due to low jatropha yields in a project just outside of Gorongoza Game Reserve, Mozambique (Photo Kevin Setzkorn)
activities towards increasingly marginal land or divert their labour towards activities whose proceeds they have less access to or control over. This would undermine the ability of women to ensure a secure food supply to feed their families (Kajoba 2002; Rossi and Lambrou 2008). In addition, if land traditionally used by women is switched to energy crops, women may then be marginalised in household decision-making about agricultural activities because they control less land.

HIV/AIDS is another serious challenge to agricultural development and, in turn, sustainable biofuel production. HIV/AIDS tends to affect the most productive age group and is characterised by repeated periods of illness. This affects the available agricultural workforce and increases medical expenditure. The extent and severity of HIV/AIDS impacts are most pronounced where periods of sickness or death coincide with peak agricultural seasons. If Africa is to be a global biofuel player, it needs to develop and implement robust policy response options to promote equal opportunities for women and men within the context of HIV/AIDS. This applies particularly to access to food and resources such as assets, capital, technology, agriculture and rural development services, as well as employment opportunities and decision-making processes.

Financial viability

The factors and criteria affecting biofuels’ economic/financial viability are national and local in their scope and specifics. Factors include: (a) the cost of biomass materials, which varies depending on land availability, agricultural productivity, labour costs, etc; (b) biofuel production costs, which depend on the plant location, size and technology; (c) fossil fuel costs in individual countries, which depend on fluctuating global petroleum prices and domestic refining characteristics; and, (d) the strategic benefit of substituting imported petroleum with domestic resources. The economics of biofuel production and use will therefore depend upon the specific country and project situation (Thomas and Kwong 2001).

Biofuel production is often a high up-front cost venture, and many programs require government support in the initial start-up phases. Access to affordable financing is a major constraint. Traditional banks are unwilling to provide financing due to market uncertainties and perceived high risks. Investors and financiers have limited data and information on which to base sound judgments and decisions. Biofuels require a ready market both locally and internationally to guarantee economic viability. Reliable and competitive markets are not yet fully developed in Africa, and the continent has limited access to international biofuel markets.

Market prices for feedstock and fossil fuels largely determine biofuel competitiveness. Given that these prices are highly volatile, investing in biofuel requires closer examination of the long-term market potential and other determinants to minimise the risks. This is particularly important for smallholders, who have limited capacity to weather failed investments. Investors need the security of markets and if the market is to be national, then they may need the assurance of mandatory blending with petroleum products. Economies of scale are also crucial, as is having the knowledge and capacity to select the appropriate feedstock and technology. Lessons can be learned from economic analyses undertaken for selected feedstocks in various countries. This means that the users of renewable energy technologies, and the suppliers of these systems, must all see a financial benefit. This will enable the optimum growth of renewable energy markets; otherwise,
renewable energy will always depend on external finance, grants and short-term policy obligations. For smallholders, evidence suggests that an outgrower approach will generate greater returns to poor people than a more capital-intensive plantation approach due to the greater use of unskilled labour and accrual of land rents to smallholders (Arndt et al. 2008).

Key conclusions

1) Africa has land available to support biofuel production, but availability varies widely from one region and country to another and competing uses need to be considered. Where land is available, it is important to ascertain that biofuels are the most appropriate land use and will provide greater benefits to the current land users and owners.

2) The land rights and resource rights of indigenous people and disadvantaged groups need to be protected. No land should be allocated without adequate provisions for ensuring existing land users capture benefits from biofuels and without free, prior and informed consent. Such practices have proven extremely difficult to operationalise in practice (Freeman et al. in press).

3) Africa has huge potential for agricultural intensification. A key concern is why this is not occurring with food crops, which almost always are more valuable than fuel crops and should be a first priority.

4) Biofuels in Africa must be for Africa’s benefit. Africa must not be used to meet global biofuel demand unless the development has social and economic benefits for Africa. For instance, African countries should be fuel self-sufficient before they export excess feedstock for international use. Policies should also support production models with greater gains for smallholder producers.

5) Biofuel projects must balance local and national benefits. Economic or production efficiency might have to be forfeited to maximise local benefit, for instance through small local processing rather than large central processing.

6) Deforestation and loss of biodiversity remain key concerns. Checks and balances are needed to protect against both social and environmental bad practices.

7) A national cap on land available, a set of land allocation criteria for biofuels and monitoring systems to ensure these standards are respected need to be developed in each country to limit food-fuel conflicts, ensure social sustainability, and keep biodiversity loss within acceptable limits.

8) The implications of second-generation biofuel technologies need to be considered as they may affect the economics of first-generation projects in the future.
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